

From: [Rough, Ginger](#)
To: [Amelia Webb](#); [Louisa and Craig Shelby](#); [Sam Imperati](#)
Cc: [Mark Henkels](#); [marge](#); [maryparmigiani534](#); [GROGAN Cory](#); [STOKES JonnaVe](#); [Benton County Talks Trash](#)
Subject: RE: Latest edition of history
Date: Tuesday, January 24, 2023 2:05:20 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[CoffinButte_KeyDates_Timeline.pdf](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi subcommittee team –
I've attached the new "Key Dates" timeline, incorporating Marge and Mark's timeline elements, per our group discussion yesterday evening.

Many thanks,
Ginger

From: Amelia Webb <AmeliaWebb@icmresolutions.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 24, 2023 10:52 AM
To: Louisa and Craig Shelby <louisa@peak.org>; Sam Imperati <samimperati@icmresolutions.com>
Cc: Rough, Ginger <GRough@republicservices.com>; Mark Henkels <henkelm@wou.edu>; marge <marge@jyo.com>; maryparmigiani534 <maryparmigiani534@gmail.com>; GROGAN Cory <cory.grogan@co.benton.or.us>; STOKES JonnaVe <JonnaVe.Stokes@Co.Benton.OR.US>; BentonCountyTalksTrash <BentonCountyTalksTrash@Co.Benton.OR.US>
Subject: RE: Latest edition of history

This Message Is From an External Sender

[Report Suspicious](#)

This message came from outside your organization.

Good Morning Louisa,

Wow - thank you for getting on this so quickly! My apologies for not getting back to you sooner on the citation style question, but short answer was not to worry about it! We will make sure everything is consistent on our end.

Have a wonderful rest of your day!

Best Wishes,
Amelia

Amelia Webb | ADR Associate
11524 SW Vacuna Ct. | Portland, OR 97219-8901
(P) 503.244.1174
AmeliaWebb@ICMresolutions.com

www.ICMresolutions.com

From: Louisa and Craig Shelby <louisa@peak.org>
Sent: Monday, January 23, 2023 11:47 PM
To: Sam Imperati <samimperati@icmresolutions.com>
Cc: GRough <GRough@republicservices.com>; Mark Henkels <henkelm@wou.edu>; Amelia Webb <AmeliaWebb@icmresolutions.com>; marge <marge@jyo.com>; maryparmigiani534 <maryparmigiani534@gmail.com>; GROGAN Cory <cory.grogan@co.benton.or.us>; STOKES JonnaVe <JonnaVe.Stokes@Co.Benton.OR.US>; BentonCountyTalksTrash <BentonCountyTalksTrash@Co.Benton.OR.US>
Subject: Re: Latest edition of history

Hi,
Here is my Introduction edit. Thanks!
Louisa

From: "samimperati" <samimperati@icmresolutions.com>
To: "louisa" <louisa@peak.org>
Cc: "GRough" <GRough@republicservices.com>, "Mark Henkels" <henkelm@wou.edu>, "Amelia Webb" <AmeliaWebb@icmresolutions.com>, "marge" <marge@jyo.com>, "maryparmigiani534" <maryparmigiani534@gmail.com>, "GROGAN Cory" <cory.grogan@co.benton.or.us>, "STOKES JonnaVe" <JonnaVe.Stokes@Co.Benton.OR.US>, "BentonCountyTalksTrash" <BentonCountyTalksTrash@Co.Benton.OR.US>
Sent: Monday, January 23, 2023 8:30:07 PM
Subject: RE: Latest edition of history

Louisa,

Please shorten the following by about 50%.

Community engagement “means having two-way communication with the public as plans are prepared and decisions are made”¹. Benton County relies on community participation for all aspects of government policies and decisions that affect the lives of County residents. In November of 2021, Republic Services applied for a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) for the expansion of Coffin Butte Landfill and not all the community felt they had the chance to provide input or information to use their voices in the process of land use decision making. Benton County did deny the CUP but per their legal right, Republic Services plans to re-apply soon. The Solid Waste Management Workgroup was formed to make recommendations about this future process of the CUP and bring more knowledge and communication to the table throughout the community. The County and other community led groups like the Solid Waste Advisory Committee (SWAC) and the Planning Commission have legal criteria they must follow to make decisions about land use issues. To

make these decisions, community participants are critical. This document was written to provide outreach recommendations to ensure that community inclusion is actively accessed for the next CUP process and future land use decisions that are so vital to community development and well-being.

The main themes identified so far during the process of developing this plan include the need to provide more inclusive and expansive community outreach for the next CUP process, update community outreach methods to include more younger, low income and underserved populations, provide language accessibility and translation, organize in person events that occur where community members already go, utilized websites and other online technology since smart phones are highly accessible to most residents and organization of community comments and concerns need to be clearly accessible and utilized. The consultation of the Benton County Diversity and Inclusion department will be utilized.

We'll keep the following, but feel free to merge them into the new Intro... You're call'

Goals and Objectives

- Best practice recommendations for Benton County communication and outreach with the public for the next CUP and for future communications concerning the Sustainable Materials Management Plan (SMMP.)
- Review past CUP process and standard Benton County Communication practices.
- Provide ideas and feedback for the BCTTC, SWAC and the PC to help in Community Engagement
- Develop outreach plan that allows the Community more time to be involved in the CUP and other Land Use processes in the future and gives the County more access to Community input for decision making
- Develop FAQs Community Education resources.

Thanks, Sam



ICM Sam Imperati, JD | Executive Director

resolutions 11524 SW Vacuna Ct. | Portland, OR 97219-8901

(P) 503.244.1174 | (C) 503.314.1156 | (F) 503.244.1038

SamImperati@ICMresolutions.com

ICMresolutions.com

From: Louisa and Craig Shelby <louisa@peak.org>

Sent: Monday, January 23, 2023 8:04 PM

To: Sam Imperati <samimperati@icmresolutions.com>

Cc: GRough <GRough@republicservices.com>; Mark Henkels <henkelm@wou.edu>; Amelia Webb <AmeliaWebb@icmresolutions.com>; marge <marge@jyo.com>; maryparmigiani534 <maryparmigiani534@gmail.com>; GROGAN Cory <cory.grogan@co.benton.or.us>; STOKES JonnaVe <JonnaVe.Stokes@Co.Benton.OR.US>; BentonCountyTalksTrash <BentonCountyTalksTrash@Co.Benton.OR.US>

Subject: Re: Latest edition of history

Sam,

I talked to Mary about the changes needed for our document. Do you want me to shorten just the first intro paragraph, or also the themes and the goals by 50%? What aspect of Republic Services communication do you want recommendations on? What is their goal of this change?

Thanks!

Louisa

From: "samimperati" <samimperati@icmresolutions.com>

To: "GRough" <GRough@republicservices.com>, "Mark Henkels" <henkelm@wou.edu>, "louisa" <louisa@peak.org>, "Amelia Webb" <AmeliaWebb@icmresolutions.com>, "marge" <marge@jyo.com>, "maryparmigiani534" <maryparmigiani534@gmail.com>, "GROGAN Cory" <cory.grogan@co.benton.or.us>, "STOKES JonnaVe" <JonnaVe.Stokes@Co.Benton.OR.US>

Cc: "BentonCountyTalksTrash" <BentonCountyTalksTrash@Co.Benton.OR.US>

Sent: Monday, January 23, 2023 7:37:30 PM

Subject: RE: Latest edition of history

Good Evening:

Here is the "New and Improved" version with the track changes preserved.

Thanks, Sam

ICM Sam Imperati, JD | Executive Director
resolutions 11524 SW Vacuna Ct. | Portland, OR 97219-8901
 (P) 503.244.1174 | (C) 503.314.1156 | (F) 503.244.1038
SamImperati@ICMresolutions.com
ICMresolutions.com

From: Rough, Ginger <GRough@republicservices.com>

Sent: Monday, January 23, 2023 3:57 PM

To: Mark Henkels <henkelm@wou.edu>; Louisa and Craig Shelby <louisa@peak.org>; Amelia Webb <AmeliaWebb@icmresolutions.com>; marge <marge@jyo.com>; maryparmigiani534 <maryparmigiani534@gmail.com>; GROGAN Cory <cory.grogan@co.benton.or.us>; Sam Imperati

<samimperati@icmresolutions.com>

Subject: RE: Latest edition of history

Hi everyone –

Please find attached my latest round of proposed edits to the history document. I appreciate the additional time to date that Marge and Mark spent incorporating my previous feedback.

A couple of points I wanted to address in Mark’s email:

- a. If the subcommittee doesn’t like the format of the alternative document that I previously sent out and doesn’t wish to consider it, then I will respect that majority opinion. However, I don’t think its appropriate to eliminate it from consideration simply based on when it was produced. Our work is ongoing and **any member** of the Subcommittee should feel comfortable introducing material during at any time during this evolving process.
- b. In no way am I suggesting with these edits that we remove key/important sections of history. I am ***proposing more neutral language, edits for clarity and accuracy, and in some cases, removal of text that either doesn’t fit the charge or is redundant to materials already produced*** by the other workgroup subcommittees.
- c. I appreciate that Mark and Marge plan to consider the “Chemeketa Process Flow Chart” I submitted yesterday. But to be clear, I also welcome Mary and Louisa’s feedback on it and any other aspects of this history document. My understanding and belief is that **all members of the subcommittee have equal say on all documents produced, regardless of who is taking the lead on writing them.**

It is my hope that we can come together as an entire subcommittee on the final product.

Look forward to talking with you soon.

Ginger

From: Mark Henkels <henkelm@wou.edu>

Sent: Sunday, January 22, 2023 6:07 PM

To: Louisa and Craig Shelby <louisa@peak.org>; to: Amelia Webb

<AmeliaWebb@icmresolutions.com>; Rough, Ginger <GRough@republicservices.com>; Mark

Henkels <henkelm@wou.edu>; marge <marge@jyo.com>; maryparmigiani534

<maryparmigiani534@gmail.com>; GROGAN Cory <cory.grogan@co.benton.or.us>; samimperati

<samimperati@icmresolutions.com>

Subject: Latest edition of history

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Sub E Process Members:

Here is the latest edition of the history document. This edition, dated January 22, reflects our responses to Ginger's commentary on the previous draft. We also brought in the factual observation Ginger made in her recent history of the early 1970s regarding the support for the expansion in 1974. We are always open to factual corrections, of course.

While we appreciate the format of what Ginger sent out this weekend, we think this should have been introduced in December. No one opposed our proposed approach in either Subcommittee E or in the larger BCTT meeting in December so Marge and I each spent scores of hours creating the first draft. We anticipated the need to edit in response to feedback and had no problem with considering Ginger's comments from last Monday.

We think this edition fits what we said we would do at our meeting and at the BCTT meetings in December. It is far shorter and while it raises issues that are problematical, any history would be hollow if it ignored them.

Marge and I do plan to consider Ginger's timeline and theme documents this week.

As I noted last week, I cannot make Monday's meeting. Sorry.


Wish you all well.

Mark

--

Mark Henkels, Ph.D.
Professor, Public Policy and Administration
Western Oregon University

Coffin Butte: Key dates and ownership changes



Coffin Butte: Key dates and ownership changes

