



BCTT Work Group Meeting 4 Evaluation Summary



	1	2	3	4	5
	Very Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Okay	Satisfied	Very Satisfied
1. Overall Meeting	0	1	0	1	6
2. Présentations	0	0	1	2	5
3. Materials	0	1	1	2	4
4. Discussion	0	1	0	2	5
5. Facilitator	1	0	0	3	4
	Too Slow	Just Right		Too Fast	
6. Pace	0	1	4	1	2

7. What were the most useful parts of the meeting?

- Hearing from the subcommittees and getting an idea of how they're working and where they are in their processes.
- It feels like the group is pulling together better
- Learning the information that sub communities shared, public comments. It was wonderful there was gluten free, dairy free food!
- Open dialogue and problem solving among members.
- Public comments and presentations by subcommittee members.
- Subcommittee reports and discussions.
- The conversations between the County Solid Waste Coordinators! That was fantastic! Candidly, I felt that we really made progress; both in product and in discourse. The conversations were candid, and even in disagreement, but way more respectful than previous meetings. I feel progress with the Workgroups is strong.
- The presentation from other counties that have or are dealing with the same landfill and waste management issues we are

8. What things would you have changed?

- Good meeting
- I would suggest that Sam and Benton County Staff have a chance to review subcommittee presentations prior to the meetings
- Not much. These kinds of meetings is what I expected from the beginning.
- Seems like there could still be some improvement on the documents. Maybe rather than having everything printed, we could use a Dropbox, Google Drive, something shared where materials for each meeting are placed, including any list minute items. That way we don't have to comb through emails, website links, etc... for things that come in- they're all just in one place.



BCTT Work Group Meeting 4 Evaluation Summary



- The facilitator still needs to listen more, listen better, and talk less. There's no need to give long and meandering responses to even the most simple requests from work group members. It feels like filibustering and unnecessarily adds friction to the work group process.

9. Do you have any additional comments that you would like the facilitation team concerning the overall Work Group process?

- I feel that the Work Group is on a good track to move forward.
- It has taken me awhile to know role but I feel like I have found some ways to help. I was expecting to be guided more with tasks or what input was needed.
- The change in atmosphere is very encouraging.
- Things still feel disorganized, with subcommittees overlapping in their topics, and in some cases, lacking representation from stakeholder groups. Please treat the process more seriously, and skip the attempts at humor. Some people apparently like it, but for others this is cringe-y stuff. It does not ease any of our concerns about outcomes. Please treat our time more respectfully by staying on topic. We're not showing up at these meetings for entertainment. If you want to bring chocolates, fine, but you don't need to spend so much time calling attention to yourself. Especially not after we saw a work group member get fired based in large part on your suggestion that she was costing the county money by raising serious questions about the process, and that you could be more cost-effective if she were removed. Please also skip the fantasies about high-end restaurant dinners that most of us could never afford. Reality is a bowl of nachos, and the county is spending our taxpayer dollars on every minute of these meetings that you spend daydreaming out loud about Caesar salad and steak Diane (whatever that is).

10. Your Name?

- Brian May
- Ed Pitera
- Joel Geier
- Kathryn Duvall
- Liz Irish
- Louisa Shelby
- Ryan McAlister
- Sean McGuire