BCTT Subcommittee - A.3. Legal Issues and B.1. Land Use Review

Subcommittee Meetings Report

11/15/2022

Charge

- 1. A Summary of the <u>County's current rights and obligations to Republic Services, and vice versa,</u> surrounding:
 - 1. The hauling franchise;
 - 2. The landfill CUP; and
 - 3. What legally can and cannot be conditions of any land use approvals (e.g. past compliance, compliance with future laws, codes, and policies, DEQ compliance, reopening, limitations on what can be brought into the County from where, required facilities and practices, reporting/compliance/financial monitoring requirements, etc.)
 - 4. Interpretation and Deference
- 2. A Summary of the <u>rights and obligations of other entities</u> surrounding landfills, hauling, and sustainability initiatives, etc.:
 - 1. Federal;
 - 2. Tribal;
 - 3. State (e.g. Is DEQ prohibited from permitting another landfill west of the Cascades and what does the "regional landfill" designation mean?);
 - 4. Local Government; and
 - 5. Summary of the step-by-step process in ORS chapter 459 and associated timing for the cross-jurisdictional approvals of landfill applications, (e.g. DEQ) including:
 - 1. What topics are within whose authority, and
 - 2. Whether, for example, the County can or should consider the topics it does not have permitting authority over when assessing the criteria outlined in Code section 53.215?

Charge B: Land Use Review Tasks

- 1. Create a common understanding document outlining which Development Code criteria are applicable to the review of a conditional use application for landfill expansion by reviewing:
 - 1. 53.215 (Criteria)
 - 2. 77.305 (Conditional Uses)

- 3. 77.310 (Review)
- 4. 77.405 (DEQ)
- 2. Review Chapters 50 and 51 for context, and then prepare a conceptual list of any other Development Code criteria the WORKGROUP recommends be applicable.
- 3. Developing recommended <u>guidelines for interpreting any ambiguous provisions</u> recognizing current statutes, regulations, case law, and County precedent, etc. In doing so, refer to Comprehensive Plan for policy guidance regarding interpretation of any ambiguous Development Code provisions (see, BCC 50.015,) and Review the Planning Commission comments made during its last review of Republic Services' CUP application for context. Examples for consideration include:
 - 1. The phrase, "Other information as required by the Planning Official" 77.310(e)
 - 2. The terms found in Section 53.215, e.g.
 - 3. "seriously interfere"
 - 4. "character of the area"
 - 5. "purpose of the zone"
 - 6. "undue burden"
 - 7. "any additional criteria which may be required for the specific use by this code.

8.	Other:	

4. Develop protocols for the timely and broad distribution of CUP-related information to the public, other governmental entities, and internal committees, groups, and divisions.

Members

Liz Irish
Jeff Condit
Vance Croney
Staff: Greg Verret
Facilitator: Sam Imperati

Meeting #1 Report to BCTT Work Group – 10/27/22

Attendance

Member	Present
Liz Irish	Х
Jeff Condit	Х

Vance Croney	Х
Staff: Greg Verret	Х
Facilitator: Sam Imperati	Х
Observers:	
Marge Popp	Х
Holly Doyle	Х
Camille Hall	Х
Pam Vaughan	Х

Meeting #1: Oct 25, 2022 03:00 – 4:30 PM Pacific Time Recording

- Document titled "<u>Legal Issues & Land Use Review subcommittee master working document</u>" contains a compilation of work done to date on this topic, by staff and members of the BCTT Workgroup.
- 2. Vance Croney and Jeff Condit had reviewed the documents generally but committed to closer review in certain areas, which are identified under Next Steps, below.
- 3. The current Republic Service agreements with the county had been worked on for 2.5 years. That agreement had been parsed and discussed at length in regards to rights and obligations.
- 4. Past franchise agreements are no longer relevant to the current franchise agreement. All new agreements are all new. There are no conditions carried over from previous agreements.
- 5. Benton County does not actively monitor compliance with conditions of land use approvals, including at the landfill.
- 6. Republic Services sets responsibility for compliance with conditions of approval on the landfill manager.
- 7. Vance Croney confirmed that the County can not limit by conditional use or in any other way who brings trash to the landfill. The only control they have would be a cap on total tonnage into the landfill. Mr. Croney had already prepared a memo on this topic, which will be added to the subcommittee's document.
- 8. DEQ decides what kinds of waste are prohibited from entering the land fill.
- 9. Can or should the County consider DEQ permitting topics when assessing the local land use application criteria? (Section A3, Line 12)
 - A) The committee agreed that its role is to answer the legal question ("can the County ..."); the policy question ("should the County ...") was better left to another group.

- B) Also address extent to which County can influence or overlap with state permitting authority. Pre-emption language in DEQ statute limits topics that a local jurisdiction can regulate. By cross-referencing state permit requirements in the conditions of the County's land use approval, the state and local government have more of a tool for enforcement.
 - Can the county set a higher threshold for a DEQ-regulated item?
 - State that DSL is in charge of wetlands; they regulate all wetlands that meet the jurisdictional definition
 - Address why can't the county regulate all wetlands, too?
 - Jeff Condit will draft a response to these topics; Greg Verret will fill in additional boxes.

Meeting #2 Report to BCTT Work Group DRAFT - 11/8/22

Attendance

Member	Present
Liz Irish	X
Jeff Condit	Х
Vance Croney	х
Staff: Greg Verret	Х
Facilitator: Sam Imperati	Х
Observers:	
Holly Doyle	Х

Working Agenda and Materials

Topic	Lead	Start	Durati on	Materials
Welcome and Introductions	Facilitator	3:00	5 mins	
Review Subcommittee Tasks (5 minutes)	Facilitator	3:05	5 mins	<u>Charge</u>
Process Protocols and Goals (5 minutes)	Facilitator	3:10	5 mins	
Review Subcommittee Meetings Report document; suggest modifications	Staff Facilitator	3:15	10 mins	<u>Subcommittee</u> <u>Meetings Report</u>
Work through the Next Steps/Action Items identified in the Subcommittee Meetings Report document, refering to Master Document.	Staff Facilitator	3:25	20 mins	Subcommittee Meetings Report; Master Document

Identify additional Action Items needed to meet	Staff	3:45	10 mins	Subcommittee
subcommittee's charge.	nittee's charge. Facilitator			Meetings Report
BCTT Meeting Reports	Facilitator	3:55	5 mins	
Adjourn	All	4:00		

Meeting #2: November 8, 2022 – 3:00pm-4:30pm

- 1. Group reviewed Meeting Report Document (this document) and concurred with layout, function and content.
- 2. Worked through the Next Steps/Action Items listed above.
- 3. Confirmed Action Items A & B:

Mr. Croney and Mr. Condit to submit a memo that would explain further how ambiguous terms are interpreted.

Mr. Croney will draft an answer to "Can the County consider DEQ permitting topics when assessing the local land use application criteria?"

4. Lengthy discussion of Action Item C (Mr. Condit and Mr. Verret will address certain limitations on local authority over state-regulated topics such as wetlands and environmental quality.) Discussion of identifying what product would be most useful to the BCTT and the Board of County Commissioners. Could be a significant undertaking. Decided on a table with brief entries summarizing info covered in different locations in the document with caveats for areas of uncertainty. Identify topics definitively regulated by DEQ, DSL, Benton Co, others, ... and those that are uncertain.

Meeting #3 Report to BCTT Work Group DRAFT – 11/15/22

Attendance

Member	Present
Liz Irish	X
Jeff Condit	X
Vance Croney	X
Staff: Greg Verret	X
Facilitator: Sam Imperati	X
Observers:	

Working Agenda and Materials

Topic	Lead	Start	Durati on	Materials
Welcome and Introductions	Facilitator	3:00	5 mins	
Review Subcommittee Tasks (5 minutes)	Facilitator	3:05	5 mins	<u>Charge</u>
Review draft summary table of "Who Regulates What" based on discussion at 11/8 meeting	Staff	3:10	10 mins	Will be distributed 11/15; For reference: Master Document
Introductory statement for Past Land Use Decisions Document – review draft from A.2 Subcommittee	Staff	3:20	15 mins	Document distributed via email
Address the topic of "Identify which provisions/conditions of approval of past land use decisions remain in force today." Note that this topic overlaps with the charge of the A.2 Subcommittee (Past Land Use Application Conditions).	Staff	3:35	15 mins	None prepared.
Address Charge B.4: "Develop protocols for the timely and broad distribution of CUP-related information to the public, other governmental entities, and internal committees, groups, and divisions."	Staff Facilitator	3:50	10 mins	None prepared.
BCTT 11/17: Subcommittee report-out.	Staff	4:00	5 mins	<u>Subcommittee</u> <u>Meetings Report</u>
Open House 11/17: Determine the focus of the Subcommittee's table and which members will staff it.	Facilitator	4:05	15 mins	
Next steps on fulfilling charge.	Staff	4:20	5 mins	<u>Subcommittee</u> <u>Meetings Report</u>
Scheduling	Facilitator	4:25	5 mins	
Adjourn	All	4:30		

Meeting #3: Nov 15, 2022 03:00 – 4:30 PM Pacific Time

- Review draft summary table of "Who Regulates What" based on discussion at 11/8 meeting:
 - Draft table seems to be in the right direction. Republic wants chance to review prior to release.

- Need to get group approval for what documents will be made available at the open house.
- Noise standards County has historically not taken this on. County could hire a specialist or somehow.
- An additional task for this subcommittee is to opine on whether conditions of past approvals are still relevant/applicable. Not same analysis as with franchise agreements, where a new contract (franchise agmt) supersedes the previous contract in full. Land use approvals are fuzzier. Best practice is to clearly state in a land use decision which if any of the prior approval conditions carry over with new approval.
 - Sam requests a memo on this topic: Best practice going forward; what applies from the past decisions.
- Reviewed draft intro statement from A.2 subcommittee. Edits recommended to distinguish between compliance and enforcement; to clarify the legal basis for conditions of approval; and to explain that for all land use decisions, enforcement is typically complaint-driven.
- Agreed on dates for next 2-3 meetings: 11/29, 12/6, 12/13. 3:00-4:30pm.

Running List of Action Items

- A. Mr. Croney and Mr. Condit to submit a memo that would explain further how ambiguous terms are interpreted.
 - ✓ Completed 11/2/2022. See Page 19 of master document.
- B. Mr. Croney will draft an answer to "Can the County consider DEQ permitting topics when assessing the local land use application criteria?"
 - ✓ Completed 11/2/2022. Response inserted in Section A3, Line 12, of the master document.
- C. Mr. Condit and Mr. Verret will address certain limitations on local authority over stateregulated topics such as wetlands and environmental quality. Present as a summary table.
 - ✓ Draft begun; see Page 16 of master document. Subcommittee review needed. Decide where in master document this content belongs.
- D. Both Mr. Croney and Mr. Condit will be reviewing all previous documents to be sure they have all been updated.
- E. Mr. Condit will review the franchise agreement portions of Section A2 and submit any markups (tracked changes).
- F. Add number of franchise agreements there have been, the current ones are the ones that control, and those are the ones discussed herein.
 - ✓ Added statement to Page 3 of master document. Did not list number of past agreements as that appears to be irrelevant.

Legal & Land Use 11/7/2022 Subcommittee Mtgs Report Page 7

- G. County staff review "What legally can and cannot be conditions of any land use approval."
 - ✓ Drafted by Vance Croney and Jeff Condit; see Page 12 of the master document. Confirm: does this replace original version (from Republic Services 9/30/22)?
- H. Incorporate Mr. Croney's previous memo on the topics of "Can Benton County prohibit solid waste generated outside the county from being deposited at Coffin Butte landfill?" and "What effect does the regional landfill designation have?"
 - ✓ Completed 11/2/2022. See Page 12 and Page 31 of the master document.
- I. Identify which provisions of past franchise agreements decisions remain in force today.
 - ✓ Draft statement added to Page 3 of master document. Review needed.
- J. Address which provisions/conditions of approval of past land use decisions remain in force today. Identify best practice for the County going forward and discuss what applies from the past decisions.
 - ✓ Section heading added as placeholder on Page 3; content needed.
- K. Elaborate on the 150-day time limit for rendering a final land use decision (currently addressed under Charge B, Background (Page 35) of the master document). What options exist in how the County processes a land use application that could facilitating meaningful public input to the decision-making process? [Request from Facilitator]
 - ✓ Draft added to master document (Page 44); subcommittee review need.
- L. Add Charge B.4: "Develop protocols for the timely and broad distribution of CUP-related information to the public, other governmental entities, and internal committees, groups, and divisions."

Section heading added. See Page 45 of the master document. No content yet.

Relevant Documents

- <u>DRAFT Report Common Understandings: Republic Services and Benton County's Current</u> Rights and Obligations (IV.A.2)
- DRAFT Report Common Understandings: Other Entity Rights and Obligations (IV.A.3)
- County Counsel Deference Memo
- Staff Memo Charge B Dev Code Provisions
- DRAFT Report Common Understandings: Reporting requirements (IV.A.1.E)
- Common Understandings Feedback Republic 9-30-22

Legal & Land Use 11/7/2022
Subcommittee Mtgs Report Page 8

- Common Understandings Feedback (Attachment A) Republic Services 9-30-22
- Master Working Document Legal Issues and Land Use Review Subcommittee; (Click Here to Download Word Version)
- BCTT Tours Q&A 10/30/22
- Fuller 11/3/22 Regional Disposal Site Statutes

