

Please enter your full name.	A.1. (Capacity): Polling - What specific "topics" of this emerging work would you poll a "2" or a "3" if you had to poll today? <b>Topic 1:</b>		A.1. (Capacity): Missing Topics - What specific missing topics would you like to see covered?					A.1. (Capacity): Additional Feedback
Mary Parmigaini (voting)			Is there anyway to include specific time estimates for events/ factors with potential lifetime impact?	Why does Total permitted airspace go down in Figure 1?	Would it be possible in Figure 2 to add a breakdown of what is from each county?	Include an assumption of landfill life without assumption of quarry.	I really like the section on climate change imperative/ methane	
Louisa Shelby (voting)	2	C. Events and Factors with Potential Lifetime Impact I would vote 1 for the information/topic it just needs to be more						Yes, maps need to be more clear. I like the idea of more visuals/graphs.
Sean McGuire (Ex-officio)	2	I would not vote a 3 on any section.	Sections 3-C (page 16) & Section 4. I feel a major topic missing is the explicit potential of an aggressive materials management plan and/or policy that will decrease materials being produced in the first place or developed/ designed in a way that would significantly increase reuse opportunities. This is somewhat mentioned or inferred, but not explicitly stated as an option. Instead, these	Section A-A: The identified topics include "Economics" (Item G). However, there is no Economics section in the report.				

Please enter your full name.	C.1. (SMMP): Polling - What specific "topics" of this emerging work would you poll a "2" or a "3" if you had to poll today? <b>Topic 1:</b>		Topic 2:		Topic 7:	C.1. (SMMP): Missing Topics - What specific missing topics would you like		C.1. (SMMP): Additional Feedback
Mary Parmigaini (voting)	2	For people who should be at the table: Not only community members should be at the table but more specifically people who live in the neighborhood by the landfill				For alternative technology if not already added, add incinerators	For questions to be answered in SMMP: What other examples do you have of a successful SMMP in counties similar to Benton County?	
Louisa Shelby (voting)	2	Contracting out: Page 3-4 Have experience with Zero waste communities. I think our main focus should be creating a Zero Waste community ( I know the steps are in the SMMP but the wording is important for the public campaign. Hopefully the consultant would have ideas on that). - Be dedicated to diversity, equity, and inclusion. - Be dedicated to climate justice and aware of how environmental issues and solutions impact vulnerable	2	Questions to be answered in the SMMP-2 bullet #3- and how might increased costs due to SMMP facilitation and landfill increase costs (such as an increase in bills with improvements/expansion or increase in bills when eventually closes and trash is transferred. bullet # 5- A zero waste plan would be most likely to decrease landfill need. bullet # 16- Hospitals and medical facilities need to be in this question along with construction.	2			
Sean McGuire (Ex-officio)	2	I would not vote a 3 on any Section.				While not missing, there is duplication of topics in the "Subjects to be covered" Section		

Please enter your full name.	A.2. (CUP): Polling - What specific "topics" of this emerging work would you poll a "2" or a "3" if you had to poll today? <b>Topic 1:</b>		A.2. (CUP): Missing Topics - What specific missing topics would you like to see covered?	A.2. (CUP): Additional Feedback
Mary Parmigaini (voting)			Typo on Page 25: Says "landfill fill"	
Louisa Shelby (voting)		I would vote a 1 so far. Especially agree with the Observations and Recommendations for documents 5, 8 and General.		I would vote a 1 so far. Especially agree with the Observations and Recommendations for documents 5, 8, and General, page 24.
Sean McGuire (Ex-officio)	2	This is very thorough, and not really within my expertise. I defer to the Subcommittee, and I would not vote a 3.		

Please enter your full name.	A.3. & B.1. (Legal): Polling - What specific "topics" of this emerging work would you poll a "2" or a "3" if you had to poll today? <b>Topic 1:</b>		Topic 2:		Topic 3:		A.3. & B.1 (Legal): Missing Topics - What specific missing topics would you like to see covered?	
Mary Parmigaini (voting)				How many of the Republic Obligations is Republic actually following?	Maybe define right/ obligation (in legal terms)			
Louisa Shelby (voting)	3 The environmental information on page 18 and other tables aren't complete, or maybe the county and other entity rights and obligations table needs to be combined with the County. If the tables were combined together it would be easier to read and understand.	2 There are various questions throughout the document that would be much easier to read and understand if there was a Q & A section either in one place or labeled as such after each topic. Those sections are	2 Interpreting code provisions. A table with the different interpretations of terms and wording of codes ie County, legal and Republic would be much easier to understand and compare. Very interesting though.	Page 40, more information on the PAPA process	In general, I would like more bullet points and less wordiness. Especially with the legal terminology. The whole document or at least each area needs a summary. It's really great information that we need but wordy and challenging to understand for a lay person.			
Sean McGuire (Ex officio)	2 This is very thorough, and not really within my expertise. I defer to the Subcommittee, and I would not vote a 3.							

		A.3. & B.1. (Legal): Additional Feedback
Is there any reason that DEQ doesn't enforce DEQ noise standards (question for DEQ)	Is there any amount of public comment period that is legally required?	
		Really interesting!

Please enter your full name.	E.1. (Community Education): Polling - What specific "topics" of this emerging work would you poll a "2" or a "3" if you had to poll today? <b>Topic 1:</b>	E.1. (Community Education): Missing Topics - What specific missing topic would you like to see covered?		E.1. (Community Education): Additional Feedback
Mary Parmigaini (voting)		For the timeline: should the history of the landfill go from present to past instead of past to present (might entice	If possible for timeline include link to source mentioned	
Louisa Shelby (voting)		This was much easier to read and understand than our original document. The summaries and tables are great.	Some thoughts when reading this were that ground water and archeological/cultural assessments, Native plant restoration and consideration of Indigenous stewardship, access an ownership could be considerations	
Sean McGuire (Ex-officio)	2 While I won't vote a 3, I am NOT a fan of this document. I find the language & timeline way too detailed. It's good information, perhaps as an appendix. Please see my additional comments below.			This is nowhere near what I thought this section would be. In our meetings, I thought this was how to engage the public & provide general education on materials management & the landfill. This would also include methods & opportunities to actively engage the public, specifically communities that are often underrepresented and/or not engaged. Once again, this is exclusively focused on the landfill itself, rather than engaging & educating the public on materials management.