1. Working Agenda

#	Topic	Lead	Start	Duration (Minutes)	Materials
1	Welcome	Board of Commissioners	2:30	20 mins	
2	Review Agenda Participant Meeting Instructions Participant Commitments	Imperati	2:50	10 mins	<u>Agenda</u>
3	Introductions (Name/Organization/P or NP/Hopes for Process (1.5 mins. Each)	Members	3:00	30 mins	
4	How We Got Here	Imperati	3:30	5 mins	Assessment
5	Review Major Charter Sections: 1) Scope (Generally) 2) Charge Elements (Below) 3) Guiding Principles 4) Polling 5) One Table	Imperati	3:35	30 mins	<u>Charter</u>
6	Break	All	4:05	10 mins	
7	Collaboration 101 Training	Imperati	4:15	15 mins	PowerPoint (Provided on 9/7)
8	Public Comment	Public	4:30	30 mins	
9	Dinner Break with "Get to Know You" Exercise	All	5:00	30 mins	Food Provided for All
10	Triage Charge Elements	Imperati	5:30	15 mins	Survey Summary (Provided on 9/7)
11	Draft Report Structure Explore Common Understandings Section 1) Refine List of Missing Topics/Questions 2) Provide Additional Information 3) Commenting on the Next Draft	Workgroup	5:45	45 mins	Report: 1st Draft Survey Summary (Provided on 9/7)
12	Mechanics: Add Representative Table		6:30	15 mins	Survey Summary

	 Meeting Time Tour Schedule Suggestions 				(Provided on 9/7)
13	Next Steps: 1) Member Meeting Evaluation (Due Tomorrow) 2) Homework for Next Meeting: a. Your Alternates b. Last Call for Additional Topics/Questions with Supporting Materials Due 9/6 @ 3PM 3) Next Meeting: 9/15/22, Time: TBD, Kalapuya Building 4) Draft Working Agenda: a. Continue Common Understandings Exploration b. Tour	Sam Imperati	6:45	15 mins	Meeting Evaluation Link
14	Adjourn	All	7:00	270 mins	

2. Attendance

Organization Interest Group	WORKGROUP Member	Polling	Ex Officio	Charge	Present
SWAC/DSAC	Joel Geier	Х		All	Χ
SWAC/DSAC	Marge Popp	X		All	X
Planning Commission	Nancy Whitcombe	X		All	X
Planning Commission				All	Online
Republic: National	epublic: National Russ Knocke			All but C	Х
Republic: Local	cal Shawn Edmonds			All but C	Х
Public	Brandon Bates	Х		All	
Public	John Deuel	X		All	X
Public	Kathryn Duvall	X		All	X
Public	Christopher McMorran	X		All	X

Public	Ryan McAlister	Х		All	X
Public	Mary Parmigiani	Χ		All	Χ
Public	Ed Pitera	X		All	Online
Public	Louisa Shelby	Χ		All	X
DEQ Brian Fuller			X	All but D	Х
Neighboring Jurisdiction	Brian May Marion County Admin. Designee		х	Only C	Х
Neighboring Jurisdiction	Shane Sanderson Linn County Admin. Designee		х	Only C	Х
Benton County Staff	Benton County Staff Daniel Redick		X	All	X
Benton County Staff	Sean McGuire		X	All	X
Benton County Staff	Darren Nichols				Χ
Benton County Staff	Greg Verret				X

Facilitation Staff:

ICM Resolutions	Sam Imperati		Χ
ICM Resolutions	Adam Meyer		Online

Others Present: The meeting Sign-In sheets can be found at XXXXXXXXX and attendees on the Zoom call can be found at ZZZZZZZZZ.

3. Minutes

Please review meeting PowerPoint presentation that can be found at: cccc

#	Topic	Meeting Minutes (For details, please see links to the meeting recording, transcript and chat, below.)
1	Welcome	Benton County Board members Nancy Wyse, Pat Malone, and Xan Augerot welcomed Workgroup members and the public to the kick-off meeting.
2	Review Agenda Participant Meeting Instructions Participant Commitments	Sam reviewed agenda and invited comments/introductions.
3	Introductions (Name/Organization/P or NP/Hopes for Process (1.5 mins. Each)	Workgroup members introduced themselves. Process Logistics: a) Open to public & being recorded b) Using 360-degree camera

		a) Info posted to the website
		c) Info posted to the website
		d) WIFI password: BentonF4ir
		e) Many here have been involved in this discussion for
		a while.
		f) A previous assessment resulted in a presentation to
4	11 147- 6-1 11	the County Board who created a Charter for this
4	How We Got Here	workgroup.
		g) We are here to start the process.
		h) This is NOT a mediation. It is a facilitation.
		i) It is not the end, but rather a beginning – a "bridge"
		to a new path forward.
		The Workgroup took a 5-minute break at 3:25 PM
		Change Flamouter
		Charge Elements:
		a) Develop the common understanding document
		b) Look at existing criteria & requirements for potential
		expansion
		c) Scope to start plan process
		d) Input on additional topics
		e) Public facing document & community education
		Polling System:
		One (green card) – support
	Review Major Charter Sections:	Two (yellow card) – agree but prefer
	6) Scope (Generally)	modifications
_	7) Charge Elements (Below)	Three (red card) – refusal to support
5	8) Guiding Principles	Tinee (rea cara) Terasar to support
	, 3	
	9) Polling	Guiding Principles:
	9) Polling 10) One Table	Guiding Principles: a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of
		a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of
		a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of county at large
		a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of county at largeb) Strive for ideal situation
		a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of county at largeb) Strive for ideal situation
		a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of county at largeb) Strive for ideal situationc) Maximize benefits and reduce costs
		 a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of county at large b) Strive for ideal situation c) Maximize benefits and reduce costs Public officials' disclosure of conflicts of interest:
		a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of county at largeb) Strive for ideal situationc) Maximize benefits and reduce costs
		 a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of county at large b) Strive for ideal situation c) Maximize benefits and reduce costs Public officials' disclosure of conflicts of interest:
		 a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of county at large b) Strive for ideal situation c) Maximize benefits and reduce costs Public officials' disclosure of conflicts of interest: a) BCC.3.025 and Oregon government ethics law One Table:
		 a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of county at large b) Strive for ideal situation c) Maximize benefits and reduce costs Public officials' disclosure of conflicts of interest: a) BCC.3.025 and Oregon government ethics law One Table: a) The idea of "one table" – one conversation, all on the
		 a) Goal: make recommendations for best interests of county at large b) Strive for ideal situation c) Maximize benefits and reduce costs Public officials' disclosure of conflicts of interest: a) BCC.3.025 and Oregon government ethics law One Table:

		ODEN Madagram Diagrapian Manalana alia I ana C
		OPEN Workgroup Discussion: Members asked questions
		about the opening overview and offered suggestions for
		improving the process going forward.
		Collaboration 101 Training
7	Collaboration 101 Training	Sam provided a brief background on conflict and conflict
		resolution.
		Themes:
		a) Mountain of garbage. Need to keep existing capacity in mind and what this means for the County.
		b) Only 7% of waste comes from Benton county and should not be dumping ground for others.
		c) Process should focus more on SMMP – not an CUP
		application.
8	Public Comment	d) Once a cutting-edge facility; now never-ending
		community problem.
		e) Coffin Butte a tragedy of commons; make those
		furthest away pay more.
		f) Future-orientated focus removed from the Charter –
		focus on more than landfilling.
		g) Consider options for harvesting energy from the
		landfill.
	Dinner Break with "Get to Know	WG members and the public were encouraged to spend the
9	You" Exercise	dinner break visiting with those in the room that they know
	Tou Exercise	least well.
		Results of the Pre-meeting Poll:
		a) 24% common understandings
		b) 29% landfill expansion
		c) 34% Scoping
		d) 7% additional topics
		e) 8% common education
		Themes:
10	Triage Charge Elements	a) Concerns about the process and survey
		methodology.
		b) Concern the process of clarifying conditional use
		permits is illegal.
		c) Clarification of the various elements provided.
		d) Authority of the workgroup explored.
		e) A legal presentation is possible.
		f) Status and timing of Republic application discussed
		g) New allocation poll coming.

		h) Board's decision to change the timeline.
	Additional Public Comment	Workgroup is in a unique position regarding common understandings. The work group should get the facts and drive hard to develop common understandings. This could be a worthy outcome in and of itself.
	Return to Triage Charge Elements	 Catherine Biscoe, Philomath City Counselor introduced. Darren Nichols: a) Sense of urgency. Haven't had a comprehensive plan for solid waste for a long time. b) Have a window of time right now. c) The facilitator's approach is supported by the Board. d) Count set aside a half a million dollars for SMMP and Workgroup can help set up that plan. Themes: a) County is not alone in not having a solid waste plan. b) Legislature recently passed the recycling modernization act. c) Would b nice to get legal information
11	Draft Report Structure Explore Common Understandings Section 1) Refine List of Missing Topics/Questions 2) Provide Additional Information 3) Commenting on the Next Draft	 a) Starting to draft the report now. It will be updated as the process evolves. b) Sections will be separated out starting with the Table of Contents and various Common Understandings sections. c) Member submittals will be folded in. d) Additional topics/questions to be answered will be added for Workgroup review. e) Homework coming to implement the next steps.
12	Mechanics: Add Representative Table 4) Meeting Time 5) Tour 6) Schedule Suggestions	Meeting Time: The Workgroup discussed options for meeting time slots. The 1-2-3 polling technique was model for this exercise. The highest level of consensus among WG members was to start at 3:00 PM. The results were: 1

		b) Joel Geier volunteered to plan a surrounding area tour. It will take place at a different time than the landfill tour.
		Schedule Suggestions: a) Next week's agenda will include a discussion of the Common Understandings' Table of Contents versus a dive into the substants of each section. b) Homework to follow to help that process.
13	Next Steps: 5) Member Meeting Evaluation (Due Tomorrow) 6) Homework for Next Meeting: a. Your Alternates b. Last Call for Additional Topics/Questions with Supporting Materials Due 9/6 @ 3PM 7) Next Meeting: 9/15/22, Time: TBD, Kalapuya Building 8) Draft Working Agenda: a. Continue Common Understandings Exploration b. Tour	Themes: a) County will work on increasing project visibility and public information b) Expanded interested party list in the making with those that were on the CUP process list.
14	Adjourn	7:30 PM

4. Polling Issues

SAMPLE TEMPLATE

1: Workgroup members are more intelligent and better looking than the facilitator."

WORKGROUP Member	Polling	Charge	Not Here	Abstain	1	2	3
Joel Geier	X	All			X		
Marge Popp	X	All				Χ	
Nancy Whitcombe	X	All					Χ
Elizabeth Irish	X	All				Χ	
Russ Knocke	X	All but C			X		
Shawn Edmonds	Х	All but C				Χ	
Brandon Bates (Withdrew)	Χ	All	X				
John Deuel	Χ	All			X		
Kathryn Duvall	X	All				Χ	
Christopher McMorran	Χ	All					Χ
Ryan McAlister	Χ	All				Χ	
Mary Parmigiani	Χ	All			Χ		
Ed Pitera	Χ	All				Χ	
Louisa Shelby	X	All					Χ
Totals:			1	0	4	6	3

RESULT: Consensus	/ No Consensus	(Bold and	Underline One	<u>)</u>
Minority Proposal: _				

6. ZOOM RECORDING and TRANSCRIPT: https://www.co.benton.or.us/cd/page/solid-waste-process-work-group

7. MEETING CHAT:

00:33:55	Debbie Palmer: Please if speakers could speak closer to microphone. Very hard to hear
00:34:22	WG Liz Irish Benton County Planning Commission: I second that. Right into the microphone.
00:35:29	Ken Eklund: The online audience cannot hear, too faint
00:38:32	Debbie Palmer: The owl definitely tracks movement, not sound.
00:41:08	Debbie Palmer: CANNOT HEAR; everyone please speak up or use the microphone
00:41:49	Higgins: the audio is just about worthless

00.40.00	Den Vereber Cite of Compilied Landbering the compilied bening
00:42:23	Pam Vaughan, City of Corvallis: I am having the same difficulty hearing.
01:20:36	Debbie Palmer: Who is in control of the Zoom back-end? Can the "owl" be configured to track SOUND instead of MOVEMENT, so that we can see whoever is speaking instead of whoever happens to be jiggling their leg or otherwise moving?
01:40:04	Ken Eklund: I have a comment to make.
01:41:14	Adam Meyer (Facilitation Support): i can hear well when you speak into the microphone but not other people around the room without microphones.
02:03:17	WG Liz Irish Benton County Planning Commission: A reminder to speak into the mic.
02:08:35	Ken Eklund: Noting here that the Sustainable Materials Management Plan scored the best in the polling of Workgroup members, as in, highest priority
02:21:37	Ken Eklund: I have another public comment.
02:22:44	Adam Meyer (Facilitation Support): can people say their names before they speak? Thank you.
02:39:25	Ken Eklund: Echoing that it would be good if people say their names when they start to speak
02:45:24	Ken Eklund: I have a public comment.
02:58:13	Ken Eklund: I'm very interested in more information about that (flaring). I think they've really ramped it up lately, added a second stack
02:59:22	Ken Eklund: I think the methane generation is ramping up, as the garbage influx from 2016 on takes hold
03:39:19	Kevin Kenaga: Sam needs to speak clearer and louder
03:40:50	Jo Ann: Very hard to understand
03:44:18	Jo Ann: Very boomy sound - room noise, extra microphone on?
03:47:36	Becky Merja: who is speaking
04:15:20	Debbie Palmer: As a member of the public, I would definitely like to be a part of the tour and to see it as the workgroup sees it. Please be sure to explain to us how to participate.
04:18:12	Becky Merja: Thanks Ed, SOAP CREEK is in Spitting distance
04:20:05	Jo Ann: how many people fit on a bus?
04:20:42	Ken Eklund: I wish to be part of the tour also
04:40:46	Debbie Palmer: Remember: meeting time has been freed up by not having the landfill tour happen during the meeting