

# January 19, 2022 BCTT Workgroup DRAFT Meeting Minutes #7

## 1. Agenda

Topic	Lead	Start	Duration	Materials
Welcome Review Agenda Approve Draft M6 Minutes M6 Meeting Evaluation Summary Workgroup Report D2 Overview	Facilitator	3:00	15 mins	Working M7 Agenda Draft M6 Minutes M6 Evaluations PDF WG Report D2
Comment Period	Public Workgroup	3:15	15 mins	Comments (Updated 1/XX/23) Link Expires _____ Password: Benton1!
Subcommittee Reports and Member Feedback:  Topic E. Community Education (~35 mins)  Topic C.1. SMMP (~40 mins)	Sub-Led Workgroup Discussions	3:45	75 mins	PDF Report Pgs. 74 – 77  PDF Report Pgs. 61 – 68
Dinner with Subcommittee Connection Opportunity	All	5:00	30 mins	
Subcommittee Reports and Feedback, Cont.:  Topic A.2. Past CUP Conditions (~35 mins)  Topic A.3. Legal Issues and Topic B.1. Land Use Review (~35 mins)  Topic A.1. Landfill Capacity/Longevity (~40 mins)	Sub-Led Workgroup Discussions	5:30	110 mins	PDF Report Pgs. 45 – 60  PDF Report Pgs. 69 – 77  PDF Report Pgs. 40 – 44
Next Steps: 1) Key Dates 2) Consultant Selection Process 3) Next Meeting: 2/23/23 @ 3:00 PM 4) Last Meeting: 3/16/23 @ 3:00 PM (May be Longer)  <b>Happy New Year!</b>	Facilitator	7:20	10 mins	Key Dates Clarification of County Processes Regarding Selection of Various Solid Waste Consultants
Adjourn	All	7:30		

**January 19, 2022 BCTT Workgroup  
DRAFT Meeting Minutes #7**

**2. Attendance**

<b>Organization Interest Group</b>	<b>WORKGROUP Member</b>	<b>Alternate</b>	<b>Polling</b>	<b>Ex Officio</b>	<b>Charge</b>	<b>Present</b>
SWAC/DSAC	Chuck Gilbert		X		All	X
SWAC/DSAC	Marge Popp		X		All	X
Planning Commission	Elizabeth Irish		X		All	X
Republic: National	<del>Russ Knoeke</del>	Ginger Rough	X		All but C	X
Republic: Local	Shawn Edmonds	Julie Jackson	X		All but C	X
Public	John Deuel		X		All	X
Public	Kathryn Duvall		X		All	X
Public	Christopher McMorran		X		All	
Public	Ryan McAlister		X		All	
Public	Mary Parmigiani		X		All	X
Public	Ed Pitera		X		All	
Public	Louisa Shelby		X		All	X
Public	Catherine Biscoe		X		All	X
DEQ	<del>Brian Fuller</del>	Audrey O'Brien	X		All but D	X
Marion County	Brian May	<del>Andrew Johnson</del>		X	Only C	X
Linn County	Shane Sanderson			X	Only C	X
Benton County	Daniel Redick			X	All	X
Benton County	Sean McGuire	<del>Jen Brown</del>		X	All	X

# January 19, 2022 BCTT Workgroup DRAFT Meeting Minutes #7

## Benton County Staff:

Benton County	Darren Nichols	X
Benton County	Greg Verret	X
Benton County	Inga Williams	X
Benton County	Linda Ray	
Benton County	Maren Schermer	X

## Facilitation Staff:

ICM Resolutions	Sam Imperati	X
ICM Resolutions	Amelia Webb	X

## 2. Minutes

Topic	Notes
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Welcome</li> <li>• Review Agenda</li> <li>• Approve Draft M6 Minutes</li> <li>• M6 Meeting Evaluation Summary</li> <li>• Workgroup Report D2 Overview</li> </ul>	<p>Sam <b>welcomes</b> everyone, reviews the <b>Agenda</b>, and reviews <b>M6 Minutes</b>. There are no comments made, so it is approved. He then reviews the <b>M6 Meeting Evaluation Summary</b>, and recommends that, at this stage, we stop doing these evaluations in favor of an overall process eval at the end.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Sam then explains the current <b>Report D2</b>, emphasizing that it is very much in <i>draft</i> form.</li> <li>- Sam also explains how the survey monkey will work where the whole WG and the public poll on all of the key findings and recommendations from each subcommittee.</li> <li>- So, the report is being written in a way to make that polling possible.</li> <li>- We will look at appendix stuff at the Feb. 24th meeting.</li> </ul> <p>Andrew Strutters (member) and Maren Schermer (staff) introduce themselves.</p>
<p>Comment Period</p>	<p>No comments in the room or on Zoom.</p> <p>Daniel explains the new “public comment” documentation format. They were doing it as links embedded on each meetings webpages. They then tried PDFing and then making then “email view.” However, not everyone has an “email view” / outlook software. So, now back to PDF and they are doing it as a folder on the main page. They are working on meeting 5 and 6, but 1 to 4 are done, and this meeting will be done out of the gate.</p>
<p>Subcommittee Reports and Member Feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Topic E. Community Education (~35 mins)</li> </ul>	<p><b>The history section: Marge and Mark</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Mark re-caps what their task is and what they had done before, and explains the key point of conflict / the area the subcommittee is still developing. Ginger adds some clarification.</li> <li>- Sam: Two other major aspects of this were added in. 1) pg. 11 as the timeline. Pg 13 has a more graphic version. These three pages have not been vetted yet. They are still working on progress. These</li> </ul>

## January 19, 2022 BCTT Workgroup DRAFT Meeting Minutes #7

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Topic C.1. SMMP (~40 mins)</li> </ul>	<p>different version will give readers different ways to get the information. A 5,000 ft level, 1,000 ft level, and 500 ft level.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Sam notes that, in the charter, the only mention of a history was about the cells at the size, etc. There was something a/b land characteristics, geology, etc. Adding this was recommended by Sam and board approved. Sam notes that the more they go away from the charter the more tension there will be. Sam is hoping tension can be resolved in the subcommittee. However, the board may need to opine on if they want this or not as part of the common understandings. If there is a major and minority history, it will be less likely to be wanted by the board as it does not create a common understanding. All the participants in SUB E are making good faith efforts to work on it. Scrutinizing each paragraph as a WG is not helpful yet b/c it need to be worked out more in the Sub first.</li> <li>- Sam also notes that this is a normal part of these processes. This “storming” and “norming” happened in the beginning, and it happens at the end too. This is normal and we still have time to work it out.</li> </ul> <p>“Zoom Bomb”</p> <p><b>Sub E Part Two: Louisa and Mary</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Louisa presents a re-cap of what the group has done and the point. She shares the list Cory has assemble of what they do. It is a lot but it is things that people have to already be in touch on. Ex: Facebook. So, they are creating recommendations on other ways to reach out. She explains what is on each pg. and what their table was and their recommendations. Je explains the post card and county-wide mailing thing.</li> <li>- Mary notes that they have gotten County feedback and those are there.</li> <li>- Adding the franchise agreement will happen going forward.</li> <li>- There is discussion about doing more things like tours and webinars to engage the public, not just inform them.</li> <li>- Republic notes that they are happy to support this. They have their own efforts like landfill tours.</li> <li>- Catherine also brought up using local government to engage and promote. Partnering with a city could be beneficial.</li> </ul> <p><b>Land Acknowledgement:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Amelia explains what the current land use acknowledgement she put in was. It is just copy and paste of the official State Language. - pg. 9 for the report</li> <li>- Sam notes that Sub E can work on it.</li> <li>- Sean notes that now we have a media coordinator that could work on the language. He will check in with her tomorrow. Sub E thinks</li> </ul>
--	--

**January 19, 2022 BCTT Workgroup  
DRAFT Meeting Minutes #7**

	<p>it sounds good. - she may be able to look at more stuff in the report too.</p> <p><b>SMMP: Sean</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Sean presents his PowerPoint. He starts by giving a little disclaimer about himself. They have been making progress but still need a little bit of time to finalize the details. So, they are presenting two major topics, 1) On the shift from looking at “end-of-line” waste management to the sustainable thing they are trying to do now.</li> <li>- Daniel did a really good job of capturing several community plans (around pg. 60). A lot of them identify different opportunities for new issues and innovation.</li> <li>- A big part of their conversation is how this is going to change their collective conversation. In their review of other plans yesterday they produced some major bullets. - these are what is on the slide.</li> <li>- Chuck notes that it is good but it is hard to wrap your head around how to make the full cycle. How do we avoid the “off-road” of this were stuff really is just trash. Are you giving inners to not everything can be reborn?</li> <li>- There was also discussion of how to capitalize the cradle-to-cradle, and engage medical, restaurants specifically from the business industry, Tribal representation, ESL people, youth, and people who collect and haul trash, yard debris, etc.</li> </ul>
<p>Dinner with Subcommittee Connection Opportunity</p>	
<p>Subcommittee Reports and Feedback, Cont.:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Topic A.2. Past CUP Conditions (~35 mins)</li> <li>• Topic A.3. Legal Issues and Topic B.1. Land Use Review (~35 mins)</li> <li>• Topic A.1. Landfill Capacity/Longevity (~40 mins)</li> </ul>	<p><b>A.2. – Catherine</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- She starts by going over who all the members are and who is in attendance. She then explains the groups task and what they have been doing. They found that for essentially all of the land use decisions they want to look deeper at the minutes. On pg. 45 to 60, you find their stuff. Some of their findings were inconsequential to the group, some they would not find compliance or not, and some they were able to find. They have about 154 pages of material they have written. Currently the group is reviewing the bare bones summary. The group feels like they have a lot to do, but with Ed out of the country since end of December, it has been hard.</li> <li>- Catherine highlights some of the findings that were the most labor intensive and explains the table – noting that it will have lots of embedded links. She also notes and gives examples that they have documentation on each of the issues if people want to look deeper into themselves.</li> <li>- Sam clarifies that, yes, the format for findings is a little different. This will be discussed by staff after the meeting.</li> </ul>

## January 19, 2022 BCTT Workgroup DRAFT Meeting Minutes #7

- Catherine then proceeds to walk through some of the major conditions and their qualities. Some conditions carry through, others become obsolete.
- Each of these documents is linked to a trove of pages behind it. Lots of DEQ ones.
- Audrey (DEQ) says they can start with the most recent stuff as it is all digital, but if it is critical, they can go into the paper files for 2013 stuff back, but will take time.
- Sam asks why the report looks different on compliance when staff's ones said the conditions of approval were met.
  - Inga: The staff has they all meeting b/c the landfill was containing to be expanded. Before land use can start requirements need to be met.
  - Catherine - yes, However, some happen in-process and post-land flow you process. So there are varying conditions. Also, there is not nearly a difference b/w theirs send staff's, they just have different access to documents. So staff may have different documents and such. Compliance is not demonstrated means they have not seen it be demonstrated but it could be. They may just not have seen or asked for the record.

### **Legal: Greg**

- Greg gives re-cap and explains their purview. What presents a bit of a challenge for this group is balancing the legal objective stuff and explaining the messy reality. Their report is not final, but final review is underway. He has a summary of findings and recommendations that is what is in the report. They also have content on a few topics being finalized. This is included in the summary table. The process for amending the county code and re-opening the franchise finance agreement sections are new and they are still working on.
- Jeff then goes over the first half of the draft findings. He then reads though and explains findings one through 10.
- Liz then reads the key findings 11 through 14.

### **A.1 – Ken and Ginger**

- Ken recaps what they have done. Note: by size they mean both footprint and volume. Ken goes over some of the points of contention within the subcommittee and explains where they will be going next with their work.
- Sam adds that, again, this conflict is a normal part of these processes. He also notes that the past CUP process format with each perspective listed was left over from creating the doc. It is still Sam's hope to try to reconcile the different views into one. The

**January 19, 2022 BCTT Workgroup  
DRAFT Meeting Minutes #7**

	focus has always been to get as many common undertakings and agreements as possible.
<p>Next Steps:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Key Dates</li> <li>2) Consultant Selection Process</li> <li>3) Next Meeting: 2/23/23 @ 3:00 PM</li> <li>4) Last Meeting: 3/16/23 @ 3:00 PM (May be Longer)</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Happy New Year!</b></p>	<p>Sam goes over <b>key dates</b> and notes that the last meeting may need to start at 1 depending on how much we need to spend time on - which he will know from the poll.</p> <p>Darren then gives update to the <b>consulted selection process</b>. He also notes that there may be a spillover date, January 31<sup>st</sup>, and that the Board may want to hear this material both during the day and in the evening so different populations of people can go.</p>
Adjourn	

**4. Polling Issues**

None

**5. ZOOM MEETING RECORDS:**

**NOTE:** Due to the Zoom bomb, the meeting recording and chat are currently unavailable. County staff are working to resolve this issues, and the materials will be made available as soon as possible. Thank you for your patience.

**6. MEETING CHAT:**