

## Q92 Please provide the Workgroup with your additional comments in the box below.

Answered: 12 Skipped: 95

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I'm losing my patients. WOW 92 and still going!!!!	3/22/2023 8:08 AM
2	Waste of time in generating all these recommendations. If this is how county funds are spent, good luck with the Justice system bond measure!	3/21/2023 4:23 PM
3	GET RID OF REPUBLIC !!!	3/21/2023 3:58 PM
4	I received the mailer the day the public comment period closed so this isn't enough advance notice. This is the first time I became aware of this process and appreciate the time the work group has put into the process. Thanks!	3/21/2023 6:38 AM
5	Q79 (R2): which "these" notification recommendations are being referred to? And does it mean to say "based on their potential impact on other CUP applications?" This is not clear. Q82 (R5): Be clear about which report you are referring to. Be consistent in your language. If the report is the BCTT report, say so. Q83 (R6): I wonder if this shouldn't be worded more clearly — Republic Services has already notified the County that they plan to file a CUP application. Don't you mean, specifically, "when they are about to"? We've known since the withdrawal of the last application that they "plan" to file a new one. Make this clearer, so that Republic Services can't weasel out of this particular recommendation based on what I just wrote. Q84 (R7): The second paragraph is informative and useful... but is not a recommendation. Perhaps it should be changed from a "recommendation" into a "finding"? Perhaps under the purview of the Legal subcommittee? Q89 (R12): Be clear about what "application" you are referring to. You just say "Planning Commission's decision on the application..." Which application? Any CUP application? Any land use application? I don't know what all different types of applications come before the Planning Commission, so please find that information out and then update this recommendation accordingly. Q90 (R13): There are two issues here. One: if by "the Board" you mean the Board of Commissioners (BOC), say so. Two: the BOC does not hold hearings on applications, they hold hearings on appeals. If a decision made by the Planning Commission (PC) is acceptable by all parties, then nothing comes before the BOC. It is only when one party dislikes the decision made by the PC and appeals it to the next level — the BOC — that they then hold hearings. But then, yes — notification of these hearings should be made as described. Q91 (R14): Same basic message as in my response to Q90, above.	3/20/2023 4:22 PM
6	Q79 (R2): which "these" notification recommendations are being referred to? And does it mean to say "based on their potential impact on other CUP applications?" This is not clear. Q82 (R5): Be clear about which report you are referring to. Be consistent in your language. If the report is the BCTT report, say so. Q83 (R6): I wonder if this shouldn't be worded more clearly — Republic Services has already notified the County that they plan to file a CUP application. Don't you mean, specifically, "when they are about to"? We've known since the withdrawal of the last application that they "plan" to file a new one. Make this clearer, so that Republic Services can't weasel out of this particular recommendation based on what I just wrote. Q84 (R7): The second paragraph is informative and useful... but is not a recommendation. Perhaps it should be changed from a "recommendation" into a "finding"? Perhaps under the purview of the Legal subcommittee? Q89 (R12): Be clear about what "application" you are referring to. You just say "Planning Commission's decision on the application..." Which application? Any CUP application? Any land use application? I don't know what all different types of applications come before the Planning Commission, so please find that information out and then update this recommendation accordingly. Q90 (R13): There are two issues here. One: if by "the Board" you mean the Board of Commissioners (BOC), say so. Two: the BOC does not hold hearings on applications, they hold hearings on appeals. If a decision made by the Planning Commission (PC) is acceptable by all parties, then nothing comes before the BOC. It is only when one party dislikes the decision made by the PC and appeals it to the next level — the BOC — that they then hold hearings. But then, yes — notification of these hearings should be made as described. Q91 (R14): Same basic message as in my response to Q90, above.	3/20/2023 2:49 PM

## Benton County "Talks Trash" Solid Waste Process Workgroup Survey

7	<p>This section is called "COMMUNITY EDUCATION &amp; OUTREACH (CEO)". While these are all excellent suggestions, it is difficult to predict precisely how to communicate with constituents on all issues going forward. therefore, these suggestions should only be starting points. One of the problems here is the willingness or interest that the County Commissioners or the staff has in informing and/or including the public. There has been (or is?) a distinct behaviour on the part of the County Commissioners or staff (including County Council) in excluding the public from information or providing input. This could change if the Commissioners adopted more open and transparent processes. The Commissioners should take these recommendations seriously.</p>	3/20/2023 8:59 AM
8	<p>The decisions being made affect the entire region, you should be gathering information outside of Benton County</p>	3/17/2023 11:18 AM
9	<p>At some point, individuals are responsible for being informed. If information is publicly available, and proper notification has been made about an issue, it is incumbent on interested parties to follow the process in the way they get information.</p>	3/17/2023 9:54 AM
10	<p>All good but not sure if postcards are really needed. Do we know how effective they actually are? Also, how was the 15 mile radius selected? Perhaps it should be greater...</p>	3/16/2023 10:09 PM
11	<p>Re-examine outflow of leachate and hold the landfill liable for toxic waste ending up in the Willamette River. Test for these toxins prior to treatment at the Corvallis facility and fine the responsible parties.</p>	3/16/2023 2:43 PM
12	<p>Notifications for the BCTT Report completion should include an email blast to the interested parties list, organic subscribers, those who spoke at the meetings, the Soap Creek Neighbors Group, and other landfill neighbors. Notifications for Board hearings on the report should include a postcard, an email blast, a newspaper notification, and social media posts and advertisements. The postcards should be sent to everyone in a 10- or 15-mile radius of the landfill, and notifications should be sent 24 hours after the Board hearing is scheduled.</p>	3/16/2023 2:42 PM