#### Homework Compilation of Summary of Responses to Informal Triaging

#### **Table of Contents**

**Staff General Comment:** 

I believe all files referenced below are now located at <a href="https://sftp.co.benton.or.us/public/folder/4j0Ya3Q2fUKW9qb2mryewg/Coffin%20Butte%20Landfill%20Files">https://sftp.co.benton.or.us/public/folder/4j0Ya3Q2fUKW9qb2mryewg/Coffin%20Butte%20Landfill%20Files</a>

#### The password is BentonCounty1!

#	Date	File #	Request	Result
1	1974	CP-74-01	Designating Coffin Butte Landfill as a regional sanitary landfill site as recommended in the Chemeketa Regional Solid Waste Program Report1 and Sanitary Landfill expansion.	PC Approved PC Decision Appealed
				BOC Approved
COMMIT	TEE MEMBI	ER COMMENTS	(presumptive attachment b), NBCCAC letter (attachment c)	
1.5	1977	CP-77-??	POTENTIALLY MISSING CUP, LANDFILL EXPANSION BY 22 ACRES	Unknown
STAFF RE	SPONSE: St	aff was unable	to locate any landfill LU file in this time frame.	
2	1983	PC-83-07/L- 83-07	Amendments to the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and Plan Map (Ordinance 251), and amendments to the Zoning Ordinance and Zoning Map (Ordinance 261).	BOC Approved.
			Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan Text and Map, amendments to the Zoning Ordinance and Zoning Map, and a Site Development Plan (M-48615-83, PC-83-07-C(1)).	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The <u>Chemeketa Regional Solid Waste Program Report</u> was produced in 1974 as part of a regional collaborative effort between Benton, Marion, Linn, Polk, and Yamhill counties (Stevens, Thompson & Runyan, Inc., 1974a). This report details recommendations and options for disposal sites, collection strategies, and other materials management approaches.

#	Date	File #	Request	Result
			COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	
			this is not a cup, this is a zoning modification, and all of the orders in the modification continue to bind the owner of the property absent any subsequent rezoning action, hence missing documentation for this land use action is especially pertinent	
			missing documentation: applicant statement missing documentation: meeting minutes missing documentation, specifically "adopted as findings" A staff report, including appendices 1, 2, and 3 B site plan review C application D background review E state planning goal exception	
			conditions that approval is subject to:	
			<ol> <li>where arethe narrative and the map</li> <li>where isrequired expanded narrative statement, section 1.a.ii to include the physical configuration of the completed landfill areas and method of maintenance</li> </ol>	
			<ul> <li>3. where isdetail description of the method of screening (in compliance with the 1967 highway beautification act, per the letter from the Oregon attorney general included in the file)</li> <li>4. where isanticipated chemical composition of "any leachate material"</li> </ul>	
			5. where isenvironmental and operational factors in art. xxx.05.a.1.(f) for the approximately 10 acres proposed for addition to the landfill	
			6. where is" detailed reclamation plan that sets form [sic] the anticipated physical characteristics of the 'terracing' including an average height and width of the terracing, etc."	
			7. where isplan to protect the small ponds	

#	Date	File #	Request	Result
			8. where isupdated, long-term leachate control plan, soil studyaccumulations in the soils will remain far below any toxicity levels.	
			supporting documents for this land use action (zoning ordinance amendment) especially the report from the north Benton County CAC, SWAC, and the staff report – include important information about why a cup is required on the 59-acre parcel zoned is to the south of coffin butte road	
COMMIT	TEE MEMB	ER COMMENT	S	
	1988?		Vacation of Tampico Ridge Subdivision not included	
3	1988	LD-88-11	A Lot line adjustment with a transfer of 37.94 acres from parcel A to parcel B.	Development Department
			COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	Approved
			all documentation is missing	
			missing documentation: applicant statement	
			missing documentation: meeting minutes	
			missing documentation: staff report	
			missing documentation: notice of decision	
COMMIT	TEE MEMB	ER COMMENTS	S	
	19??		Vacation of end of Coffin Butte Road?, Bridge of Soap Creek? Not included	
4	1994	PC-94-03	A conditional use permit for a 2.2 megawatt power generation facility. The facility would utilize the gas generated from the decomposing refuse in the landfill as the fuel source.	Development Department Approved
			COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	
			all documentation is missing	
			missing documentation: applicant statement	
			missing documentation: meeting minutes	
			missing documentation: staff report	

#	Date	File #	Request	Result
			missing documentation: notice of decision	
5	1994	PC-94-10	Zone change from Rural Residential to Landfill Site Zone, Comprehensive Plan change from Rural Residential to Landfill Site	BOC Denied
6	1994	PC-94-11	A conditional use permit to expand the area approved for a landfill within the Landfill Site Zone and update the site development plan. An exception to the Statewide Planning Goal 4. A conditional use permit to expand the generating capacity of the existing electric generation plant that is served by the gas produced at the Coffin Butte Landfill. Phase I of the expansion would increase the capacity of the plant from the current 2.2 MW to 5 MW and Phase II would increase the capacity to 10 MW. STAFF RESPONSE: Confirmed	PC Approved; PC Decision Appealed; Application Withdrawn
			COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	
			A conditional use permit to expand the area approved for a landfill within the Landfill Site Zone and update the site development plan.	
			green below appears to be copy/paste error	
			An exception to the Statewide Planning Goal 4. A conditional use permit to expand the generating capacity of the existing electric generation plant that is served by the gas produced at the Coffin Butte Landfill. Phase I of the expansion would increase the capacity of the plant from the current 2.2 MW to 5 MW and Phase II would increase the capacity to 10 MW.	
			all documentation is missing	
			since this application was for the identical parcel that the 2021 cup proposed, it would be useful to find out what the grounds for denial were	
			this was an incredibly contentious application extensively covered in local reporting, why are there no contemporary news articles cited by staff regarding this in the news article section?	
7	1997	S-97-58	A conditional use permit to expand the generating capacity of the existing electric generation plant that is served by the gas produced at the Coffin Butte	

#	Date	File #	Request	Result
			Landfill. Phase I of the expansion would increase the capacity of the plant from the current 2.2 MW to 5 MW and Phase II would increase the capacity to 10 MW.	Community Development and Parks Department Approved
			COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	
			all documentation is missing missing documentation: applicant statement missing documentation: meeting minutes missing documentation: staff report missing documentation: notice of decision	
8	1999	PC-99-06	A Conditional Use Permit for mining and processing of mineral and aggregate resources. The proposed area consists of 1.43 acres adjacent to the existing quarry operation.	Planning Commission approved
			COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	
			quarry operations on this parcel have ceased (see next permit)	
9	2002	PC-02-07	Landfill. Phase I of the expansion would increase the capacity of the plant from the current 2.2 MW to 5 MW and Phase II would increase the capacity to 10 MW.  COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS  all documentation is missing missing documentation: applicant statement missing documentation: meeting minutes missing documentation: staff report missing documentation: notice of decision  A Conditional Use Permit for mining and processing of mineral and aggregate resources. The proposed area consists of 1.43 acres adjacent to the existing quarry operation.  COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	<u> </u>
from the at 10 MW.  COMMITTIVE all docume missing domissing domiss	COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS			
			missing documentation: applicant statement missing documentation: meeting minutes missing documentation: staff report	

#	Date	File #	Request	Result
10	2003	PC-03-11	A Conditional Use Permit for excavation and landfilling of 9.45 acres adjacent to the existing landfill operation, approved by planning commission with conditions. This portion of the landfill would represent an addition to the existing, previously approved, Landfill Site Zone. (East triangle).	Planning Commission approved
			COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	
			most documentation is missing missing documentation: applicant statement missing documentation: meeting minutes missing documentation: staff report	
11	2011	LU-11-016	Conditional Use Permit for the construction of a new public recycling and refuse transfer facility at Coffin Butte Landfill. The request also includes enhancements to the stormwater conveyance and detention system, as well as a container and drop box storage area, and a landfill construction staging and storage area in FC zone.	Planning Commission approved
			COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	
			most documentation is missing	
			missing documentation: applicant statement missing documentation: meeting minutes	
			missing documentation: staff report	
12	2013	LU-13-061	Conditional Use Permit for "minor additions and modifications" at Coffin Butte Landfill to change the location of a construction staging and storage area in the vicinity of the existing office structure.	Planning Commission approved
			COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	
			most documentation is missing	
			missing documentation: applicant statement missing documentation: meeting minutes	
			missing documentation: staff report	

#	Date	File #	Request	Result
13	2015	LU -15- 001	Alteration of a nonconforming use to continue and enhance a stormwater treatment facility in the Exclusive Farm Use Zone, associated with Coffin Butte Landfill.	Community Development Department Approved
			COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS  most documentation is missing missing documentation: applicant statement missing documentation: meeting minutes missing documentation: staff report	
14	2021	LU-21-047	Conditional Use Permit to expand Coffin Butte Landfill. Republic Services proposed: to create a new disposal cell for the Coffin Butte Landfill which will extend from the current cell south of Coffin Butte Road; close Coffin Butte Road to public traffic (vacate the right-of-way*) so the new cell can cover the road; relocate a replacement roadway (for landfill and quarry traffic only) around the area of the new disposal cell; relocate the leachate ponds south of Coffin Butte Road, and move some other structures. Closing Coffin Butte Road will likely require improvement of at least one other roadway in the area to accommodate increased traffic—potentially Tampico Road or Wiles and Robison Roads.	Planning Commission Denied; PC Decision Appealed; Appeal Withdrawn
			COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS missing documentation: planning commission written memoranda missing documentation: staff findings	



Homework Compilation of Summary of Responses to Informal Triaging

#### **EACH REQUEST WITH RESULTS and STATUS FOR TRIAGING**

#### General Comments from Committee Members:

- I understand that county staff might want to explain why, for many conditions (lighting, noise etc.) they have not been monitoring. But it makes the document less clear than it ought to be. "Complaint-based monitoring" really means "no monitoring" in practice. In such cases, I've suggested this more direct language.
- Some of the responses really seem to be beating around the bush to avoid saying, "not in compliance." For example if screening is "not completed" even 20 years after a permit was issued, why not just state clearly, "Not in compliance."
- The document was not fully ready for us to do this kind of triage. There are numerous places where the "status" column says something to the effect, "More research needed." We can't really give a final opinion on those items until the research is completed. In some cases they might turn out to be non-controversial. But for now I'm voting 3 on all of those.
- This approach was not actually what I suggested for triaging these issues in the meeting. I suggested a "vote-a-rama" which we quickly went through the list as a group, just voting 1/2/3 with minimal discussion of each item. I regret that my suggestion wasn't fully understood, as I think this wound up being a whole lot more work for everyone, outside of the time that people are already committing to meetings.
- This is really a lot of applications to do things that are not allowed by right, 14 individual applications with many missing (Tampico vacation, coffin butte vacation, 1977 cup (?)). has any other business or individual in the history of Benton County been the applicant in so many land use actions? if the landfill is the record holder, is that overall situation something that should be considered when additional special permissions are requested?
- What does a 1-2-3 signify here? By putting "3" what am I saying? I am not sure. I have tried to explain in the comments.

#### **General Staff Comments:**

• Staff rarely monitors a use once the land use file is finalized. We consider a file Finalized when the Conditions of Approval outlined in the decision have been satisfied.

#### Homework Compilation of Summary of Responses to Informal Triaging

• There are various mentions to "LUCS" in the comments. The committee members will need to clarify what document they believe they are looking for because staff is unaware of any LUCS requests for the landfill.

Date	File #	Request		Result			
1974	CP-74-01	Designating Coffin Butte Landfill as a regional serecommended in the Chemeketa Regional Solid Sanitary Landfill expansion.  COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS  • missing documentation, see pp 1-7	•	PC Approved PC Decision Appealed BOC Approved			
Conditio	ns of Approval		Status		1	2	3
in effect of including Landfill uresearching. The ser defined WS, DA Expand	or have been so the adoption of inder that new ing this question rvice area to be d and the appro A, KV, MI, CO, A ling Coffin Butt	ether any of these conditions of approval remain uperseded by subsequent land use decisions, of the LS zone and approval of Coffin Butte zoning designation in 1983. County staff is on.  e served by the Coffin Butte Site should be oval should be confined to serving only areas MI, LL, LV, and MH, as defined on the enclosed map <sup>3</sup> . The to service additional areas should require a reg Commission.	Additional Research Needed		3	1	5
COMMIT	TEE COMMEN	TS					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The <u>Chemeketa Regional Solid Waste Program Report</u> was produced in 1974 as part of a regional collaborative effort between Benton, Marion, Linn, Polk, and Yamhill counties (Stevens, Thompson & Runyan, Inc., 1974a). This report details recommendations and options for disposal sites, collection strategies, and other materials management approaches.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The <u>Chemeketa Regional Solid Waste Program Report</u> labels specific Chemeketa Region Service Areas, including the general areas of Monmounth/Independence (MI), West Salem (WS), Dallas (DA), Kings Valley (KV), Corvallis (CO), Albany (AL), Lobster Valley (LV), and Monroe/Harrisburg/Halsey (MH), which are mapped and detailed on Figure IV-7 of the Report (Stevens, Thompson & Runyan, Inc., 1974b).

<ul> <li>Republic Services acquired Coffin Butte Landfill in 2008. Certain records prior to that date may be incomplete. We agree that the changes to the County's land use regulations and subsequent conditional use approvals mean that the analysis and the conditions in the 1974 decision are no longer relevant. Further, Republic Services<sup>4</sup> has reported the counties of origin and tonnage for the last 20 years to the Board of Commissioners under the terms of its franchise agreement.</li> <li>Unable to accept this assessment until additional research is complete.</li> <li>Modified in 1983, but still relevant as to intent – not sure how to rank thiswith every land use application there has consistently been discussion about how much Benton County residents did not want out-of-county waste being deposited into the landfill; I believe the meeting minutes reflect that the applicant stated that the landfill was just for Benton County</li> <li>STAFF RESPONSE: staff is unsure when the change occurred to allow trash to be brought to the landfill from outside those areas identified above occurred. There is no information in any land use file that staff searched through.</li> </ul>			
2. The site management activities conducted at Coffin Butte should be reviewed periodically by the County Sanitarian (ex-officio member of the Planning Commission). A report of compliance to all state and local standards should be made at least once annually to the Planning Commission by the Sanitarian.		3	2
COMMITTEE COMMENTS			
<ul> <li>The report was supposed to be annual but this assessment only mentions one year. More information needed to confirm compliance.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>I see annual reports dating back to 2005. Were there annual reports submitted before then?</li> <li><a href="https://www.co.benton.or.us/cd/page/solid-waste-collection-franchisee-annual-reports">https://www.co.benton.or.us/cd/page/solid-waste-collection-franchisee-annual-reports</a></li> </ul>			
• Replaced by DSAC in 1983, but still relevant as to intent; if DSAC had been regularly informed of non-compliance with conditions of approval, perhaps the landfill would have been more compliant			
STAFF RESPONSE: It will need to be a decision of the Board of County Commissioners as to whether this condition should be resumed.			
3. Efficient leachate collection and treatment, including the old site, should be maintained by the applicant to insure against pollution of lagoon was installed to treat leachate from the old site.	5	1	3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For ease of reference, "Republic Services" is used throughout this version of the document but depending on the topic the actual legal entity on the applicable permits documents or otherwise may be Valley Landfills, Inc.

nearby waterways. In addition, wells should be established on the periphery of the solid waste site to monitor any potential seepage into underground aquifers (groundwater pollution).	No longer relevant, replaced with later conditions for run-off.			
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>Disagree strongly with staff: "efficient leachate collection and tre fact domestic wells have been contaminated, which should be no Contamination of domestic wells has been a continuing concern (see 1993 Coffin Butte Annual Report, the Helms Well, page 4). Co the most recent CUP (2003), it is certainly possible to argue that t treatment burden public facilities (the Corvallis water treatment gallons/year +/- are trucked to a Salem facility). Let's have the dis Benton County instead of diverting it to landfills with less precipit discharging dioxins/PFAS into the Willamette is "pollutingnearb</li> </ul>	of owners of parcels adjacent to the landfill, for good reason current leachate treatment is impossible onsite, as promised in the intent of this provision was not to have landfill leachate facility is so overburdened by leachate that 15 million coussion about whether it is "efficient" to import waste into cation (which consequently produce less leachate) and whether			
<ul> <li>These requirements are still relevant. Has the original collection-iterating leachate? Past members of SWAC assessed that it was n</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Wells were required to monitor potential seepage of contaminan groundwater, so this assessment does not address the original re</li> </ul>				
STAFF RESPONSE: County staff has no regulatory authority over leachate	collection or disposal. This is a function for DEQ.			
		3	2	3
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>Disagree strongly with staff. "Temporary" cover of tarp-covered of clearly not the intent of this provision. Meeting minutes and appl provision. This provision additionally requires "visual reclamation</li> </ul>	icant statements provide clarification as to the intent of this			

of garbage that is geographic in scope. This provision also addresses screening, which is also clearly a non-complied-with condition of approval.			
• This was part of conditions of approval for a landfill that was then scheduled to close by 2000. The condition was not met. To date, no part of the site has been reclaimed by seeding with native vegetation. The "scars eroding the face of Coffin Butte" have in fact been increased by subsequent expansions, to a height well above the proposed grade for the currently permitted landfill design, even after expansions.			
STAFF RESPONSE: It would be more accurate to state that subsequent expansions of the footprint and additions to uses on and adjacent to the site made this condition unrealistic to fulfill until the entirety of the landfill is completed.  5. That by July 1, 1976, a plan including detailed elements on design.  Complete, 1977 Waste Control Systems, Inc. Solid Waste.			
5. That by July 1, 1976, a plan including detailed elements on design, location, management, and financing of a solid waste resource recovery system be prepared and submitted to the Planning Commission for further consideration. Until such a plan is completed, the conditional use approval shall be limited to only the sanitary landfill method of waste disposal.	5	2	1
COMMITTEE COMMENTS			
<ul> <li>Needs detail, &amp; relevant as to intent: This plan said that the landfill would close by the year 2000 and be replaced by a waste-to-energy facility. Approval of a landfill in 1974 was not a "forever landfill" – it was a bridge to a different way of dealing with solid waste. It is important to note that, in order to not repeat prior mistakes</li> </ul>			
6. The landfill operation shall be phased so that only a small acreage is used for full at one time and then this acreage shall be returned to grazing, another farm-type operation or other permitted use as approved by the Planning Commission and the Board of County Commissioners.	4 1		3
COMMITTEE COMMENTS			
• DEQ approval of a reclamation plan does not supercede county conditions of approval. No part of the landfill has yet been restored to grazing, farming, or even natural alternatives such as native prairie vegetation.			
• Disagree strongly with staff. Land use is land use, and is a County regulation. Unless specifically referred to in the land use language, DEQ has parallel, authority, not overriding authority. Land use policies deal with compatibility issues (i.e. generation			

#### Homework Compilation of Summary of Responses to Informal Triaging

of odors/dust); DEQ policies deal with environmental quality. The does not negate the authority of the other (Unless that is specifically STAFF RESPONSE: It would be more accurate to state that subsequent et to the site made this condition unrealistic to fulfill until the entirety of the state of the site made this condition unrealistic to fulfill until the entirety of the state	ied within the regulation itself, which in this case it is not)  xpansions of the footprint and additions to uses on and adjacent			
7. That efforts be made to encourage voluntary separation of recoverable materials such as tin, aluminum, paper, glass, etc. to reduce the amount of landfill materials.	Cardboard being recovered by the collection firm. More items are being recycled currently.	5	3	
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>Some efforts have been made but they have been largely ineffe improved appreciably since the 1970s.</li> </ul>	ctive. Benton County's ratio of recycling to landfilling has not			
<ul> <li>Presumably the intent of this provision was to have recycling ef Benton County could go to zero waste tomorrow, and presumal within a short time, because of the new owner's vertical integral document.</li> </ul>	oly, the landfill would still take in the maximum volume cap			
STAFF RESPONSE: The applicant has and is fulfilling this condition.				

#### **COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENT**

Date File	# Request		Result
1977 PC-?		al 22-acre disposal cell?  o missing land use file found.	3

D	Date	File #	Request	Result

1983	PC-83-07; L-83-07	Amendments to the Benton County Comprehensive Plan and Plan Map (Ordinance 251), and amendments to the Zoning Ordinance and Zoning Map (Ordinance 261).  Amendments to the Comprehensive Plan Text and Map, amendments to the Zoning Ordinance and Zoning Map, and a Site Development Plan (M-48615-83, PC-83-07-C(1)).  COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS  • missing documentation, see pp 1-7					
Condition			1	2	3		
1. Cross reference the narrative and the map in both documents.  The narrative was updated to provide information related to all of these conditions.			5	2	2		
• ir	SPONSE for th	assess with missing narrative his and duplicative comments below: The updated na	arrative is found in the documer	nt titled "PC-83-07-C(3)"			
include method stateme generat	include the physical configuration of the completed landfill areas and method of maintenance of the proposed pasture uses. Include a statement regarding the effects of methane and internal heat generation on the long-term maintenance of the pasture, and include irrigation plans if proposed.				5	1	2
	TEE COMMEN	issess with missing narrative					

<ul> <li>We have not been provided with the necessary information to ass issues, or whether the assessment of methane generation was add on the site.</li> </ul>	•			
<b>3.</b> Describe in more detail in the narrative, the method of screening: include a description of the location, height, width, depth and physical composition of the berm; and include the type and location of vegetative screening; and include a statement regarding the long-term maintenance of the berm and vegetative screens.	The narrative was updated to provide information related to all of these conditions.	5	1	2
<ul> <li>For this and other statements regarding "updates of the narrative sufficient information to evaluate compliance. This same comment these things without adequate information. I suggest that we show that we have not actually had opportunity to examine fully it's bapplications.</li> <li>impossible to assess with missing narrative</li> </ul>	t applies to all entries below. We are being asked to opine on ald not be giving a rubber stamp of approval to statements			
4. Include in the narrative the anticipated chemical composition of any leachate material to be used for irrigation south of Coffin Butte Road; and include documentation that the material to be utilized as irrigation meet federal and state standards for any run-off that may leave the property lines.  COMMITTEE COMMENTS	The narrative was updated to provide information related to all of these conditions.	5	1	2
<ul> <li>For this and other statements regarding "updates of the narrative, sufficient information to evaluate compliance. This same commen these things without adequate information. I suggest that we show that we have not actually had opportunity to examine fully it's bapplications.</li> <li>impossible to assess with missing narrative</li> </ul>	t applies to all entries below. We are being asked to opine on all d not be giving a rubber stamp of approval to statements			

Factors in Art.XXX.05.A.1.(f) for the approximately 10 acres proposed for addition to the landfill area.		4	2	2
<ul> <li>For this and other statements regarding "updates of the narrative, the Solid Waste working group has not been supplied with sufficient information to evaluate compliance. This same comment applies to all entries below. We are being asked to opine on these things without adequate information. I suggest that we should not be giving a rubber stamp of approval to statements that we have not actually had opportunity to examine fully it's both meaningless and likely to be abused in future CUP applications.</li> <li>impossible to assess with missing narrative, where are the 10 acres proposed for addition? need drawings</li> </ul>				
6. Provide a detailed reclamation plan that sets form the anticipated physical characteristics of the "terracing" including an average height and width of the terracing, provide documentation that the site is physically available to be reclaimed in this manner.  COMMITTEE COMMENTS  • For this and other statements regarding "updates of the narrative, the Solid Waste working group has not been supplied with sufficient information to evaluate compliance. This same comment applies to all entries below. We are being asked to opine on these things without adequate information. I suggest that we should not be giving a rubber stamp of approval to statements that we have not actually had opportunity to examine fully it's both meaningless and likely to be abused in future CUP applications.			1	2
<ul> <li>impossible to assess with missing reclamation plans (which would probably be in the form of drawings, not "narrative")</li> <li>Submit for review by the Development Director a plan detailing the proposed method Valley Landfills shall use to protect the small ponds found in the Northeast corner of the property.</li> <li>COMMITTEE COMMENTS</li> <li>For this and other statements regarding "updates of the narrative, the Solid Waste working group has not been supplied with sufficient information to evaluate compliance. This same comment applies to all entries below. We are being asked to opine on these things without adequate information. I suggest that we should not be giving a rubber stamp of approval to statements</li> </ul>			1	2

that we have not actually had opportunity to examine fully it's both meaningless and likely to be abused in future C applications.	CUP			
<ul> <li>impossible to assess with missing pond protection plans (note: presumably not in compliance since the small ponds cappear to be buried below a large pile of waste)</li> </ul>	urrently			
8. The current DEQ operational permit will expire on January 31, 1984. Valley Landfills, Inc. has been requested to submit an updated, longterm leachate control plan as part of the permit renewal process. This plan must contain provisions for a leachate storage facility so leachate irrigation will not occur on pasture lands from November 1 through May 1 of each year. The control plan must also provide for a soil study that designates present and future leachate irrigation areas. This plan must show that the amount of irrigation area available is compatible with future leachate generation volumes so metal or nutrient accumulations in the soils will remain fat below any toxicity levels.	tions such the DEQ ould not	2	4	З
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
DEQ does not monitor soil toxicity				
<ul> <li>Republic Services maintains an active solid waste permit with the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and is compliance with that permit. Further, leachate irrigation ceased in the late 1990s, as a result of new regulatory rules. leachate is sent to a local wastewater treatment plant.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>request has been made of Brian fuller, DEQ to find out if DEQ monitors soil toxicity</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>there has never been a cup submitted to Benton County that included off-haul of all leachate generated at the landfil treatment at municipal facilities &amp; release into the Willamette. all cup's (1974/1983/2003) where documentation is a have contained, in the application, assertions that all leachate would be treated on-site.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>This statement is not adequate to confirm that these conditions were met, or that they were fully evaluated by DEQ. the case of "irrigation area," any such plan did not work and as a result the leachate is being hauled to wastewater tro plants rather than being irrigated. It would be more accurate to characterize this as a failure of design that led to non compliance, which required alternative methods to maintain DEQ permitting.</li> </ul>	eatment			

<b>10.</b> Screen the landfill operation with fencing or berms so it cannot be seen from the County Road or adjacent properties.	Not completed.	2	1	5
STAFF RESPONSE: The applicant states they are in compliance. DEQ can be requested to verify.				
Republic Services has added additional monitoring wells as required and continues to be in compliance with its DEQ permits.			1	
• is any leachate collected in the secondary collection system? if so,	the liner is already leaking			
<ul> <li>domestic wells have been contaminated. current subchapter part "d" dual landfill liners have been required since 1993. this technology is less than 30 years old, and may have to continue to perform for hundreds of years, during which time the liner can become brittle. the EPA has concluded that all landfills will eventually leak "no liner can keep all liquids out of the ground for all time. eventually liners will either degrade, tear, or crack and will allow liquids to migrate out of the unit. some have argued that liners are devices that provide a perpetual seal against any migration from a waste management unit. EPA has concluded that the more reasonable assumption, based on what is known about the pressures placed on liners over time, is that any liner will begin to leak eventually. "citation: EPA, 1988</li> </ul>				
As above, there should be a check of whether DEQ has actually evaluated this. Just because DEQ approved a permit does not necessarily mean that this condition was met.				
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
9. As the site expands eastward, additional monitoring wells will be required. Depending on DEQ budget limitations, the permittee may have to share in the responsibility for sampling and monitoring of these wells.  Overseen by DEQ. The Comm. Dev. Department does not confirm and inspect records to ensure that conditions such as these are completed. It is a DEQ permit and if the DEQ does not approve the permit then the applicant could not continue the use and would be out of compliance.			3	2
The soil study referenced above was in regard to leachate irrigation areas, not a general review of soil toxicity. Since leachate is no longer disposed of through irrigation, this condition is no longer applicable.				
A CUP application is a government review of a proposed use, hauling leachate is not a land use but an action that is dependent on a land use.				
STAFF RESPONSE: The requirement is for a leachate control plan, there is no requirement that states that all leachate must be treated on-site. Staff would not have had enough expertise to be able to dictate how leachate is handled.				

COMMITTEE COMMENTS			
<ul> <li>not in compliance document not included letter from the Oregon justice department regarding screening requirement per the 1967 highway beautification act</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Republic Services' records are incomplete, as this amendment is nearly 40 years old, and the company was neither the owner, nor the operator of the landfill at that time. However, Republic Services has planted trees to screen the landfill from Highway 99. Based on the age of the condition and the changing site conditions over the past four decades, Republic disagrees with the conclusion that this condition has not been completed.</li> </ul>			
There should be a more clear statement that the applicant is not in compliance with this requirement.  STATE DESPONSE. Not in compliance in make the applicant is not in compliance with this requirement.			
STAFF RESPONSE: Not is compliance is probably a more accurate description as not completed implies it was not done at all. The screening may have been done but has eroded or died in the interim. It should be recreated and maintained to be in compliance with the requirement.			
11. Daily cover of refuse with earth is not possible at this site due to the clay soils. The current (and future) permit addresses requiring daily compaction of refuse and require exposed refuse areas to not exceed 2 acres during the periods of October 15 to June 1 and to not exceed 3/4 of an acre during all other periods. This shall be adhere to.	3	3	2
COMMITTEE COMMENTS			
• land use requirement not addressed by staff: this is a land use requirement; DEQ is not mentioned, and does not have override authority need more information: does the area of open fill exceed ¾ of an acre from June 2 through October 14? what is it now? does the area of open fill exceed 2 acres during the periods of October 15 through June 1?			
• Republic Services generally agrees with this assessment but would like the record to reflect that we do use site soils as daily cover, in addition to alternative daily covers.	,		
• The statement here does not address whether exposed refuse areas have been limited to the acreages stated. There should be a more clear statement of whether this has been complied with, and whether the county has done any monitoring.			
STAFF RESPONSE: The landfill should respond as to whether they comply with this condition currently. I would also suggest that DEQ be requested to verify if this condition is still acceptable by updated landfill standards. If it is not being complied with and DEQ confirms that it is still an acceptable requirement, then the applicant can be informed that they should comply with this condition.			

12. Occasionally, leachate seeps through the site berms during heavy rainfall periods. If these occur in the future, a requirement to channel these flows into the leachate collection system within a	Overseen by DEQ.	2	3	3
timely period (i.e., 3 days) may be added.				
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>disagree with staff: DEQ not mentioned, therefore DEQ does not he through site berms? is not answered</li> </ul>	nave regulatory authority. question: does leachate seep			
<ul> <li>This condition was put in place prior to today's highly-engineered landfill design requirements. At the time, landfill liners were not required. Republic Services complies with all current regulatory requirements, which include liners. Leachate does not seep through perimeter berms.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Whether overseen by DEQ or not, there should be a clear stateme whether the county has ever checked on this.</li> </ul>	ent of whether this condition has been complied with, and			
STAFF RESPONSE: The landfill has submitted a response above. This condit	cion appears to no longer be applicable.			
13. DEQ permits are normally issued for a maximum of 5 years. As part of the permit renewal process, DEQ requires updated operational and construction plans to reflect the current permit period. As such, changes in environmental controls may be required to incorporate new technology into the landfill operation.	This is an advisory to the applicant rather than a condition that needed to be met.	5		1
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>DEQ currently issues 10-year permits. The agency reviews and appropriate construction.</li> </ul>	proves landfill liner plans and schematics prior to any			
<ul> <li>DEQ is mentioned therefore it is appropriate to refer to DEQ comp be valid</li> </ul>	pliance, although if the LUCS is not current, the permit may not			

Date	File #	Request	Result
1988	LD-88-11	A Lot line adjustment with a transfer of 37.94 acres from parcel A to parcel B.	Development Department Approved

COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS  • missing documentation, see pp 1-7
what was this and why?

				,					
Date	File #	quest			equest Result				
1994	PC-94-03	A conditional use permit for a 2.2 megawatt power generation facility. The facility would utilize the gas generated from the decomposing refuse in the landfill as the fuel source.  COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS		•		/ed			
Condition	ns of Approva	<ul> <li>missing documentation, see pp 1-7</li> </ul>	Status		1	2	3		
				6		1			
Noise S dwelling permit.				5	1	2			
		e at the landfill and 1997 was a long time ago ele not been updated	risure the facility is still in compila	nce; verify that hoise					

Was there ever a follow-up study after the facility was expanded?				
<b>3.</b> The applicant is responsible for ongoing monitoring of noise levels. Upon request of the Planning Official, the applicant shall provide the County with sufficient information to determine whether the facility is in compliance with Condition 2 of this permit.	Available records do not indicate any such requests by the Planning Official.	2	4	2
<ul> <li>COMMITTEE COMMENTS</li> <li>County has not monitored.</li> <li>noise is an issue at the landfill: ask the applicant to demonstrate the Republic Services agrees with the County's assessment. Our availance Department.</li> </ul>				
<b>4.</b> The applicant shall obtain and comply with all applicable permits from Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). The applicant shall provide copies of all DEQ permits to the County.	This is a standard type of condition that ties a County permit to a permit issued by another agency. The County does not actively monitor compliance with outside agency permitting requirements, but if the outside agency determines that their permitting requirements have not been met then the applicant is also out of compliance with the Benton County permit.	4	2	1
when was the most recent LUCS on file at DEQ completed?				
<b>5.</b> Expansion of the generating capacity of the facility is authorized under this permit as long as all conditions of approval, including those specifying building size and noise levels, are met. The Planning Official may require that the applicant obtain a new conditional use permit in order to expand the facility if, in his judgment, conditions existing at the time of the proposed expansion warrant a conditional use review.	A new CUP was submitted and approved to expand the size of the facility.	7		
<b>6.</b> Lighting shall be located so that it does not face directly, shine or reflect glare onto an adjacent street or property.	Monitoring of this condition is complaint driven.	4		4

COMMITTEE COMMENTS		
County has not monitored		
Not enough information to determine if this condition is met.		
staff comment is non-responsive; check the facility at night		
<ul> <li>While we are on the landfill tour on Saturday, I heard you [Ian] talking with Joel Geier, and the subject of the arc lamps on the scene came up (photo attached). You told Joel that the lamps were not used mornings, only in afternoons. However, I went out this morning at 6 am and saw that the lights were indeed already on atop Coffin Butte, and there appeared to be operations going on, as I could see the red taillights of trucks moving around up there also. So it seems you are mistaken about the use of the arc lamps, and have been for some time. All last winter, for example, the lights were on every workday morning. I know this because I can see them from where I live when I go out to get the paper, weather permitting. They were on even if I got up at 5 am.</li> <li>STAFF RESPONSE: Staff has no records of complaints regarding lights at the landfill.</li> </ul>		
7. Obtain all required septic, access, building, plumbing, mechanical, electrical, and other applicable permits prior to construction.	7	1
COMMITTEE COMMENTS		
septic/ada/building/plumbing/mechanical? certificate of occupancy?		

Date	File #	Request	Result
1994	PC-94-10	Zone change from Rural Residential to Landfill Site Zone, Comprehensive Plan change from Rural Residential to Landfill Site.	BOC Denied
		COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS
		missing documentation, see pp 1-7	<ul> <li>why no opportunity to even comment on relevancy? relevant to current work 3</li> </ul>

Date	File #	Request	Result
1994	PC-94-11	A conditional use permit to expand the area approved for a landfill within the Landfill Site Zone and update the site development plan. An exception to the Statewide Planning Goal 4. A conditional use permit to expand the generating capacity of the existing electric generation plant that is served by the gas produced at the Coffin Butte Landfill. Phase I of the expansion would increase the capacity of the plant from the current 2.2 MW to 5 MW and Phase II would increase the capacity to 10 MW.	PC Approved; PC Decision Appealed; Application Withdrawn
		<ul> <li>cut/paste error follows: an exception to the statewide planning goal 4. a conditional use permit to expand the generating capacity of the existing electric generation plant that is served by the gas produced at the coffin butte landfill. phase i of the expansion would increase the capacity of the plant from the current 2.2 mw to 5 mw and phase ii would increase the capacity to 10 mw. Staff Concurs</li> <li>why no documentation of this? this was the identical 59-acre parcel that was the subject of lu-21-047, which also proposed coverage of coffin butte road.</li> <li>for example, one of the reasons that the board eventually denied this application was that a property owner near the landfill had a buyer back out of a house sale when landfill expansion plans were made public. that is what is known as an "adverse impact" and would be useful to include in common understandings</li> <li>missing documentation, see pp 1-7</li> </ul>	why no opportunity to even comment on relevancy? relevant to current work 3

Date	File #	Request	Result
1997	S-97-58	A conditional use permit to expand the generating capacity of the existing electric generation plant that is served by the gas produced at the Coffin Butte	Community Development and Parks Department Approved
		Landfill. Phase I of the expansion would increase the capacity of the plant	

10 MW.  COMMITTEE M  LS uses  missing	EMBER COMMENTS spillover to non-LS (forest conserts documentation, see pp 1-7 SE: This is an allowed conditional u	vation?) zone				
Conditions of Approval		Status		1	2	3
1. The Phase I generation facility shall be located in a structure approximately 75 by 85 feet; as shown in the application. The Phase 2 expansion shall be located in a building approximately 120 by 200 feet, as shown in the application materials. The Phase 2 expansion shall be located at least 300 feet from State Highway 99W, as shown in the application materials.		7	1			
··		5	2	1		
certificate of occupancy?						
		4	2	3		
COMMITTEE COMMENTS						

And were complaints received? Not enough information to detern	nine if this condition was met.			
County has not monitored subsequent				
<ul> <li>Is this document available to the public?</li> </ul>				
noise is an issue at the landfill; ensure the facility is still in complia	nce; verify that noise standards have not been updated			
4. The applicant is responsible for ongoing monitoring of noise levels.  Upon request of the Planning Official, the applicant shall provide the County with sufficient information to determine whether the facility is in compliance with Condition 3 of this permit.  Available records do not indicate any such requests by the Planning Official.		3	2	4
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
County has not monitored				
<ul> <li>noise is an issue at the landfill; ensure the facility is still in complia</li> </ul>	nce			
Not enough information				
<ul> <li>Republic Services agrees with the County's assessment. Our availa Department.</li> </ul>	Republic Services agrees with the County's assessment. Our available records do not indicate any such requests by the Planning			
STAFF RESPONSE: Staff has no records of complaints regarding noise at the	e landfill.			
<b>5.</b> The applicant shall continue to provide sanitation facilities for the generation plant employees that are located on site. The facilities shall include:	OSHA letter from September 29, 1997 included relating to the toilet facilities and drinking water being compliant with OSHA standards for sanitation.	7		1
<ul> <li>a) Drinking water within the generating plant building by a potable water container, refilled periodically;</li> </ul>				
b) A portable toilet located at the generating plant site;				
<ul> <li>c) Plumbed restroom facilities, with water closets and hot and cold running water shall be available for use by employees at the</li> </ul>				
Coffin Butte Landfill office;				
<ul> <li>d) Generating plant employees shall have vehicles available for trips to the Coffin Butte Landfill office restroom facilities;</li> </ul>				

e) The maximum number of generating plant employees shall be five (5).				
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>applicant "shall continue"is the facility still in compliance? is potable water still available, are the other conditions complied with? portable toilet/available vehicles/5 maximum employees?</li> </ul>				
6. The application shall obtain and comply with all applicable permits from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ). The applicant shall provide copies of all DEQ permits for the generation facility to the Community Development and Parks Department.	This is a standard type of condition that ties a County permit to a permit issued by another agency. The County does not actively monitor compliance with outside agency permitting requirements, but if the outside agency determines that their permitting requirements have not been met then the applicant is also out of compliance with the Benton County permit.	4	3	1
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>when was the most recent LUCS on file at DEQ completed?</li> </ul>				
7. Lighting shall be located so that it does not face directly, shine, or glare onto an adjacent road or property.	Monitoring of this condition is complaint driven.	5		4
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
check the facility at night				
County has not monitored				
Not enough information				
8. The property owner shall submit a declaratory statement to be recorded in the Benton County Deed Records for the subject property that recognizes the rights of adjacent forest uses, consistent with BCC 620.220().	Completed.	6	1	1
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>provide copy in documentation</li> </ul>				

<b>9.</b> The applicant shall prepare a site specific development plan	Additional Research Needed	2	3	3
addressing emergency water supplies for fire protection. The plan shall be submitted to the local fire protection agency for review. The plan approved by the local fire protection agency shall be shall submitted to the Community Development and Parks Department prior to the issuance of building permits for the structure for Phase 1. A revised site specific development plan shall be completed prior to issuance of construction permits for the Phase 2 expansion. The site development plan shall address:	Additional nescurent receded		3	3
<ul> <li>a) Emergency access to the local water supply in the event of a wildfire or other fire-related emergency;</li> <li>b) Provision of an all-weather road or driveway to within 10 feet of the edge of identified water supplies which contain 4,000 gallons or more and exist within 100 feet of the driveway or road at a reasonable grade (e.g. 12 percent or less);and</li> <li>c) Emergency water supplies shall be clearly marked along the access route with a Fire District approved sign.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>appears to be not in compliance. these (plus assurance of power a requirements for the LS zone in a potential revisit of chapter 77</li> <li>Republic Services is also conducting further research.</li> <li>This explanation of status cannot be accepted until the topic has be</li> </ul>				
STAFF REPONSE: Compliance with this condition is not confirmed.				
		4	2	1

#### Homework Compilation of Summary of Responses to Informal Triaging

#### **COMMITTEE COMMENTS**

- CO for Phase 1? CO for Phase 2?
- Republic Services' records do not show any non-compliance issues with Phase II. While the owner/operator of Coffin Butte Landfill was the applicant for this CUP request, primary responsibility for compliance would have been with Pacific Northwest Generating Cooperative, an independent third-party contractor and not a Republic Services' subsidiary.
- What about Phase 2?

STAFF RESPONSE: Phase 2 of the expansion has not be utilized by the applicant.

Date	File #	Request		Result			
1999	PC-99-06	Conditional Use Permit for mining and processing of mineral and aggregate esources. The proposed area consists of 1.43 acres adjacent to the existing parry operation.		Planning Commission approv	ed		
	<ul><li>COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS</li><li>missing documentation, see pp 1-7</li></ul>						
Conditions of Approval Status			1	2	3		
Obtain approval of a reclamation plan from the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries or the Oregon Division of State Lands. Operation and reclamation plan shall demonstrate consistency with the intended subsequent site use.		This is a standard type of condito a permit issued by another a actively monitor compliance wirequirements, but if the outside their permitting requirements I applicant is also out of complian permit.	gency. The County does not th outside agency permitting e agency determines that have not been met then the	3	4	1	
	<ul> <li>extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff should verify. is there a CUP closeout process at Benton</li> </ul>						
С	ounty CDD?						

2. if the mining is the primary cause of traffic on the unpaved public road, that road shall be kept dust-free by the applicant if dwellings are located within 300 feet of the roadway. The applicant and lease-holding operator shall endeavor to use only those public roads designated for truck usage, unless making local deliveries of mineral and aggregate resources to residential areas serviced by roads not designated for truck usage.	Public roads serving primarily quarry traffic are paved.	6	2	
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, state County CDD?</li> </ul>	ff should verify. is there a CUP closeout process at Benton			
<ul> <li>Is there no equivalent condition about dropping rocks which creat</li> </ul>	e road hazards on the highway?			
STAFF REPONSE: No equivalent condition exists.				
3. The applicant or lease-holding operator shall provide screening to partially obscure the mining site from view by adjoining occupied property and public roads in Soap Creek Valley and north Benton County to the extent reasonable and practicable to do so. The screening shall consist of an ornamental fence or wall, a vegetated berm, or preservation of vegetated natural slope in character with the natural landscape of Soap Creek Valley.		1	4	4
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff should verify. is there a CUP closeout process at Benton County CDD?</li> </ul>				
Not in compliance. The quarry is visible for miles around.				
<ul> <li>Republic Services did not own Coffin Butte Landfill at this time. The that was done. While the owner/operator of Coffin Butte Landfill of for compliance with these and other requirement would have bee Earth historical photos that the third-party contractor did make an Republic Services do not have access to those records.</li> </ul>	was the applicant for this CUP request, primary responsibility in the third-party quarry contractor. It appears from Google			

STAFF conditi	RESPONSE: Staff will need to field verify but it appears through comments on.	that the applicant is not in compliance with this			
opera Oreg holdi one r recei data file, i Depa consi meth belov Quali visua holdi	Addition does not exceed the maximum sound level permitted by the on Department of Environmental Quality. The applicant or leaseing operator shall monitor noise generated by mining activities on andomly selected day per month when noise complaints are wed, not withstanding a minimum of one time per year. Noise and reports of findings from this monitoring shall be placed on a timely way with the Benton County Community Development rement for public inspection. A berm, or other sound-absorbing ruction materials such as acoustical cinder blocks or other similar ods may be used to reduce the sound off-site to levels at or withose permitted by the Oregon Department of Environmental ty. Any sound-reduction construction will be consistent with the I buffering required in Condition #3 above. The applicant or leaseing operator shall limit blasting to the hours of 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 Monday through Friday.	onal Research Needed	1	4	4
COMM	ITTEE COMMENTS				
•	As noted above, the owner/operator of Coffin Butte Landfill was the appllandowner. Primary responsibility for compliance with these and other requarry contractor.				
•	County has not monitored. Blasting has, on occasion, rattled windows an to 1/2 mile away. Following several such incidents, residents complained planned blasts.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
•	extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff shoul County CDD?	d verify. is there a cup closeout process at Benton			
•	extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff shoul County CDD?	d verify. is there a cup closeout process at Benton			

STAFF RESPONSE: Staff will need to field verify but it appears that the applicant is not in compliance with this condition. Staff is unaware of any noise data being submitted to the Community Development Department.			
5. Provide on-site parking for employees, customers, and visitors to the mining site.  Additional Research Needed			3
COMMITTEE COMMENTS			
<ul> <li>As noted above, the owner/operator of Coffin Butte Landfill was the applicant for this CUP only because it was the property landowner. Primary responsibility for compliance with these and other requirements would have rested with the third-party quarry contractor.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff should verify. is there a cup closeout process at Benton County CDD?</li> </ul>			
In compliance			
6. Maintain a security fence between the mining operation and the public road when such road is located within 200 feet of the mining operation.  COMMITTEE COMMENTS  Additional Research Needed  Additional Research Needed			3
• As noted above, the owner/operator of Coffin Butte Landfill was the applicant for this CUP only because it was the property landowner. Primary responsibility for compliance with these and other requirements would have rested with the third-party quarry contractor.			
<ul> <li>extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff should verify. is there a cup closeout process at Benton County CDD?</li> </ul>			
In compliance			
7. Not excavate in a manner which would result in disturbance of perimeter fencing or screening, or would impair the intent of the reclamation plan.  Additional Research Needed	3	2	4
COMMITTEE COMMENTS			

<ul> <li>As noted above, the owner/operator of Coffin Butte Landfill was the applicant for this CUP only because it was the proper landowner. Primary responsibility for compliance with these and other requirements would have rested with the third-pa- quarry contractor.</li> </ul>	-			
<ul> <li>extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff should verify. is there a cup closeout process at Bento County CDD?</li> </ul>	on			
The provision for screening has not been met, as noted above.				
8. The quarry operation hours shall occur only between 7:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday, and 7:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. on Saturdays. Quarrying operations shall not be conducted on Sundays.		3	2	3
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
• As noted above, the owner/operator of Coffin Butte Landfill was the applicant for this CUP only because it was the property landowner. Primary responsibility for compliance with these and other requirements would have rested with the third-party quarry contractor.				
<ul> <li>extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff should verify. is there a cup closeout process at Benton County CDD?</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>Generally in compliance (the quarry has been a better neighbor than the landfill, in this regard).</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>"Operating hours" seem to be where there is most reluctance to make a clear statement that the landfill is out of compliance.         Three or four special kinds of "operations" are mentioned that take place outside of the operating hours that were stated as conditions for the permits. On this last issue, for comparison I took a look at Lane County's Short Mountain Landfill. That landfill only serves commercial account holders, yet they seem to be able to restrict those haulers to their stated operating hours (7 AM to 5 PM weekdays and Saturdays). Seems like there's a lesson for Benton County our working group in there.     </li> </ul>				
STAFF RESPONSE: This has not been verified with the quarry operator yet.				
9. The applicant shall retain the dual-access road system to provide for emergency service access to the subject site.  Additional Research Needed		1	5	3
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				

<ul> <li>As noted above, the owner/operator of Coffin Butte Landfill was the applicant for this CUP only because it was the property landowner. Primary responsibility for compliance with these and other requirements would have rested with the third-party quarry contractor.</li> <li>extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff should verify. is there a cup closeout process at Benton County CDD?</li> <li>There is only one serviceable route in to the quarry site for emergency service. The bridge over Soap Creek is no longer passable for emergency vehicles (both structurally unsound and with barriers in place).</li> </ul>			
STAFF RESPONSE: This has not been verified with the quarry operator yet.  10. The quarrying activity shall be limited to the 600 foot contour elevation and below, as shown by the applicant on Attachment 2 to the application.  Additional Research Needed	2	3	4
COMMITTEE COMMENTS			
<ul> <li>As noted above, the owner/operator of Coffin Butte Landfill was the applicant for this CUP only because it was the property landowner. Primary responsibility for compliance with these and other requirements would have rested with the third-party quarry contractor.</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff should verify. is there a cup closeout process at Benton County CDD?</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Google Earth images from 8/13/2020 show that quarrying activity extends up to approximately the 700 ft contour.</li> </ul>			
STAFF RESPONSE: This has not been verified with the quarry operator yet. It is possible to request the quarry to provide proof that they complied with this condition.			
11. Copies of water quality and air quality permits, and data produced from associated monitoring programs, required of the applicant by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, shall be placed on file, in a timely way, with the Benton County Community Development Department for public inspection.  Additional Research Needed	2	3	4

COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>As noted above, the owner/operator of Coffin Butte Landfill was the applicant for this CUP only because it was the property landowner. Primary responsibility for compliance with these and other requirements would have rested with the third-party quarry contractor.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff should verify, is there a cup closeout process at Benton County CDD?</li> </ul>				
This explanation of status cannot be accepted until the topic has been researched.				
STAFF RESPONSE: This has not been verified with the quarry operator yet.				
12. Copies of storm-water runoff permits and data produced from associated monitoring programs required of the applicant by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, shall be placed on file in a timely way, with the Benton County Community Development Department for public inspection.  Additional Research Needed		3	4	
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
• As noted above, the owner/operator of Coffin Butte Landfill was the applicant for this CUP only because it was the property landowner. Primary responsibility for compliance with these and other requirements would have rested with the third-party quarry contractor.				
<ul> <li>extraction believed to be completed, see next permit request, staff should verify. is there a cup closeout process at Benton County CDD?</li> </ul>				
This explanation of status cannot be accepted until the topic has been researched.				
STAFF RESPONSE: This has not been verified with the quarry operator yet.				

Date	File #	Request	Result

2002	PC-02-07	A Conditional Use Permit for landfilling of an area that will be excavated for mining of mineral and aggregate resources Approved by the Planning Commission with Conditions. (West Triangle Expansion). The proposed area consists of 1.43 acres adjacent to the existing quarrying and landfill operation, and is designed to fulfill the reclamation plan for the previously approved quarry on this site.		Planning Commission appro			
		COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS     LS uses spillover to non-LS (forest conservation?) zone without partition     missing documentation, see pp 1-7					
Condition	s of Approval		Status		1	2	3
1. Obtain approval from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality for landfill operations on this site.  This is a standard type of condition that ties a County permit to a permit issued by another agency. The County does not actively monitor compliance with outside agency permitting requirements, but if the outside agency determines that their permitting requirements have not been met then the applicant is also out of compliance with the Benton County permit.		3	4	1			
COMMIT	COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS						
• de	<ul> <li>does the most recent LUCS on file with DEQ predate this cup application?</li> </ul>						
Republic Services has obtained all the necessary approvals and permits from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality and remains in compliance with these conditions and approvals.							
unpaved	2. In cases where landfill operations are the primary cause of traffic on unpaved public roads in the area, those roads shall be kept dust-free by the applicant.  No longer applicable. Coffin Butte Road is entirely paved.			6	1	1	
COMMIT	COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS						
See previous comment on this issue re: Robison Rd. and Wiles Rd.							
• TI	The public section of Coffin Butte Road is entirely paved.						

<ul> <li>there continue to be unpaved public roads in the vicinity of the lar so, how much?</li> <li>STAFF RESPONSE: The county considers this condition to be completed.</li> </ul>	dfill. does the landfill contribute to traffic on those roads? if			
3. The applicant or lease-holding operator shall ensure that the landfill operation does not exceed the maximum sound level permitted by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.	County monitoring of this condition is complaint-based.	4	1	4
<ul> <li>COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS</li> <li>noise is an issue at the landfill; ensure the facility is still in complia</li> <li>Not enough information.</li> </ul>	nce	-		
Not monitored by the county.				
4. Provide on-site parking for employees, customers, and visitors to the landfill site.		6		1
COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>truck traffic to the landfill begins backing up HWY 99 beginning be safety hazard</li> </ul>	fore 4:30am; ensure that traffic to the landfill does not pose a			
STAFF RESPONSE: The comment does not appear to pertain to the condition	n.			
5. Maintain a security fence between the landfill operation and the public road when such road is located within 200 feet of the landfill operation.  Security fence is present		6		1
check fence perimeter to ensure fencing is intact and surrounds the deferred maintenance may be in order	e entire perimeter; from a casual inspection, it appears			

#### Homework Compilation of Summary of Responses to Informal Triaging

**6.** The landfill operation hours shall occur between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday, and 12:00 p.m. through 5:00 p.m. on Sundays, with 24-hour access for commercial customers.

Per Ian McNab: Monday through Friday internal operations begin at approximately 4:30am. The site opens to commercial vehicles starting at 5am and to public vehicles starting at 8am. The site closes to both commercial and public vehicles at 5pm with onsite operations wrapping up around 5:30pm. The hours are self-imposed and can be extended based on a customer's needs. On Saturday internal operations begin at approximately 7:30am. The site opens to commercial and public traffic at 8am and closes to both at 5pm. Internal operations finish around 5:30pm. The site is closed on Sunday.

3 | 3 | 3

The contractors constructing landfill infrastructure are allowed to operate outside of normal landfill hours, however, typically do not start before 6am and typically end by 7pm. Occasionally they also work on Sunday.

#### **COMMITTEE COMMENTS**

- "Operating hours" seem to be where there is most reluctance to make a clear statement that the landfill is out of compliance. Three or four special kinds of "operations" are mentioned that take place outside of the operating hours that were stated as conditions for the permits. On this last issue, for comparison I took a look at Lane County's Short Mountain Landfill. That landfill only serves commercial account holders, yet they seem to be able to restrict those haulers to their stated operating hours (7 AM to 5 PM weekdays and Saturdays). Seems like there's a lesson for Benton County our working group in there.
- How does 24 hour access work with compliance to noise complaints?
- Not in compliance
- Not in compliance. See previous note regarding operating hours.
- Republic Services is in compliance with this requirement, which governs landfill operations. However, it's important to note that internal operations, which include the arrival of field personnel on-site, begins at 4:30 a.m., Monday through Friday, and at 7:30 a.m. on Saturday. Additional operations not governed by the conditional use permit include work by third party contractors on landfill infrastructure, and commercial customers, who have 24-hour access to the Landfill.

STAFF RESPONSE: A definition of Operation Hours is what appears to be not those hours when the landfill is open to the public. Staff would have been would need to work outside of the hours identified above to complete act	aware at the time this condition was imposed that the landfill			
<b>7.</b> The applicant shall retain the dual-access road system to provide for emergency service access to the subject site.	Additional Research Needed	2	2	4
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
good candidate for Chapter 77 review				
<ul> <li>Republic Services is in compliance with this requirement and has r Highway 99 and Tampico Road.</li> </ul>	nade a dual-access road system. Access is available via			
This explanation of status cannot be accepted until the topic has be	een researched.			
STAFF RESPONSE: The applicant indicates they are in compliance with this	condition.			
<b>8.</b> The landfill activity shall be limited to the 600 foot contour elevation and below, as shown by the applicant on the Site Development Plan in the application.	Additional Research Needed	3	1	5
COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>applicant should provide lidar, coordinate &amp; verify with county GIS</li> </ul>				
• Not in compliance. The south face of Coffin Butte is scarred/eroded by excavations above the landfill up to approximately 675 ft elevation, per GoogleEarth images dated 8/13/2020				
<ul> <li>Republic Services is in compliance and operating within the 600-foot contour elevation as specified in the Site Development Plan.</li> </ul>				
STAFF RESPONSE: The applicant indicates they are in compliance with this	condition.			
9. Copies of water quality and air quality permits, and data produced from associated monitoring programs, required of the applicant by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, shall be placed on file, in a timely way, with the Benton County Community Development Department for public inspection.	The county regularly receives copies.	5	2	1

COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS			
Are these available for inspection, and if so, where?			
• landfill not in compliance with June surface emission methane monitoring required by DEQ; Benton County should obtain an independent assessment of overall methane emissions, arsenic levels in monitoring wells are high, odor: per public records requests, odor complaints to DEQ are not documented/investigated/logged to the extent that residents have given up on making complaints to DEQ. per testimony at CU-21-047, odors are significant enough at the landfill that people sometimes cannot leave their homes; DEQ is apparently unaware of this situation, suggested action: ask DEQ how better to communicate current odor problems at the landfill to DEQ, annual report to DEQ: for many years, the reports required by Benton County DSAC to DEQ "documenting local citizens' concerns and the manner in which the owner or operator [of the landfill] is addressing those concerns" (ORS 459.325) have not been completed and submitted as required by Oregon statute. even now, there is no DSAC meeting dedicated to this required activity. as a result, DEQ has not been informed of many of the problems that citizens experience at coffin butte landfill. dedicated DSAC meeting to which the public are invited to air landfill concerns, include the results of this meeting in DEQ annual report			
10. Copies of storm-water runoff permits and data produced from associated monitoring programs required of the applicant by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, shall be placed on file in a timely way, with the Benton County Community Development Department for public inspection.		6	1 1
associated monitoring programs required of the applicant by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, shall be placed on file in a timely way, with the Benton County Community Development		6	1 1
associated monitoring programs required of the applicant by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, shall be placed on file in a timely way, with the Benton County Community Development Department for public inspection.		6	1 1

Date	File #	Request	Result
------	--------	---------	--------

2003	PC-03-11	A Conditional Use Permit for excavation and lar the existing landfill operation, approved by plan conditions. This portion of the landfill would re existing, previously approved, Landfill Site Zone COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS  • LS uses spillover to non-ls (forest conservation) missing documentation, see pp 1-7	nning commission with present an addition to the e. (East triangle).	Planning Commission appr	oved		
Condition	s of Approval		Status		1	2	3
Oregon Permit I	1. The applicant shall continue to operate within the approval of the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality Solid Waste Disposal Permit No 306.  The Comm. Dev. Department does not confirm and inspect records to ensure that conditions such as these are completed. It is a DEQ permit and if the DEQ does not approve the permit then the applicant could not continue the use and would be out of compliance.  COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS		3	4	1		
		nost recent LUCS on file at DEQ completed?  I operations are the primary cause of traffic on	No longer relevant.		5		2
unpave		in the area, those roads shall be kept dust-free					
COMMIT	TEE MEMBER S	STATUS COMMENTS					
• Se	ee previous no	tes re: Robison Rd. and Wiles Rd.					
	ere continue to, how much?	to be unpaved public roads in the vicinity of the lar	ndfill. does the landfill contribute to	traffic on those roads? if			

<b>3.</b> The applicant or lease-holding operator shall ensure that the landfill operation does not exceed the maximum sound level permitted by the	County monitoring of this condition is complaint-based.	4	1	3
Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.				
COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>County does not monitor.</li> </ul>				
• noise is an issue at the landfill; ensure the facility is still in complia	nce			
<b>4.</b> Provide on-site parking for employees, customers, and visitors to the landfill site.	Complied with.	7		1
COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>truck traffic to the landfill begins backing up hwy 99 beginning bef safety hazard</li> </ul>	ore 4:30am; ensure that traffic to the landfill does not pose a			
<b>5.</b> Maintain a security fence between the landfill operation and the public road when such road is located within 200 feet of the landfill operation.	Complied with.	7		7
COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS				
check fence perimeter to ensure fencing is intact and there are no	deferred maintenance issues			

6. The landfill operation hours shall occur between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday, and 12:00 p.m. through 5:00 p.m. on Sundays, with 24-hour access for commercial customers.	Per Ian McNab: Monday through Friday internal operations begin at approximately 4:30am. The site opens to commercial vehicles starting at 5am and to public vehicles starting at 8am. The site closes to both commercial and public vehicles at 5pm with onsite operations wrapping up around 5:30pm. The hours are self-imposed and can be extended based on a customer's needs.  On Saturday internal operations begin at approximately 7:30am. The site opens to commercial and public traffic at 8am and closes to both at 5pm. Internal operations finish around 5:30pm. The site is closed on Sunday.  The contractors constructing landfill infrastructure are allowed to operate outside of normal landfill hours,	3	3	3
	however, typically do not start before 6am and typically end by 7pm. Occasionally they also work on Sunday.			
not in compliance				
Not in compliance, see previous notes on operating hours.				
<ul> <li>Republic Services is in compliance with this requirement, which go internal operations, which include the arrival of field personnel on a.m. on Saturday. Additional operations not governed by the cond landfill infrastructure, and commercial customers, who have 24-ho</li> </ul>	i-site, begins at 4:30 a.m., Monday through Friday, and at 7:30 litional use permit include work by third party contractors on			
7. The applicant may relocate the eastern haul road, and shall retain the dual-access road system to provide for emergency service access to the subject site.	Additional Research Needed	2	3	4
good candidate for chapter 77 review				

<ul> <li>Republic Services is in compliance with this requirement. The eastern haul access road was relocated, per county regulations, during the construction of Cell 4 in 2012. Access is maintained today.</li> <li>This explanation of status cannot be accepted until the topic has been researched</li> </ul>			
8. Copies of water quality, stormwater runoff, and air quality permits; and data produced from associated monitoring programs, required of the applicant by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, shall be placed on file in a timely way with the Benton County Community Development Department for public inspection.  COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS	4	3	1
Are these documents available for public inspection, and it so, where?			
• landfill not in compliance with June surface emission methane monitoring required by DEQ; Benton County should obtain an independent assessment of overall methane emissions, arsenic levels in monitoring wells are high, odor: per public records requests, odor complaints to DEQ are not documented/investigated/logged to the extent that residents have given up on making complaints to DEQ, annual report to DEQ: for many years, the reports required by Benton County DSAC to DEQ "documenting local citizens' concerns and the manner in which the owner or operator [of the landfill] is addressing those concerns" (ORS 459.325) have not been completed and submitted as required by Oregon statute. even now, there is no DSAC meeting dedicated to this required activity. as a result, DEQ has not been informed of many of the problems that citizens experience at coffin butte landfill. contamination: domestic wells have been contaminated			
9. A plan for a landscape buffer to mitigate visual impacts shall be approved by the Community Development Department. Landscape screening in the form of deciduous trees between the east triangle and Highway 99W, and a mixed deciduous/evergreen buffer shall be planted and maintained in good health by the applicant.	2	1	6
Not in compliance			
<ul> <li>not in compliance</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Republic Services was unable to find records relating to this requirement, nor does it have any indication that there was any enforcement action from the County. Republic Services did plant a mitigating/vegetative buffer in 2016.</li> </ul>			

10. Approval shall be obtained from the Oregon Division of State Lands for any activities on the subject property that affect designated wetlands.	This is a standard type of condition that ties a County permit to a permit issued by another agency. The County does not actively monitor compliance with outside agency permitting requirements, but if the outside agency determines that their permitting requirements have not been met then the applicant is also out of compliance with the Benton County permit.	3	4	1	
COMMITTEE COMMENTS					
Must be active monitor					
<ul> <li>Republic Services has obtained all the necessary approvals and per and remains in compliance with these conditions and approvals.</li> </ul>	rmits from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality				
<ul> <li>verification of compliance should be obtained. did the wetlands for protection in the 1983 rezone</li> </ul>	ormerly include the small ponds that were slated for				

Date	File #	Request		Result			
2011	LU-11-016	Conditional Use Permit for the construction of a refuse transfer facility at Coffin Butte Landfill. T enhancements to the stormwater conveyance a a container and drop box storage area, and a lastorage area in FC zone.  COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS	he request also includes and detention system, as well as	Planning Commission appro	oved		
		<ul><li>LS uses spillover to non-LS</li><li>missing documentation, see pp 1-7</li></ul>					
Conditio	ons of Approva		Status		1	2	3
applic the ap	Conditions of Approval  1. Development shall comply with the plans and narrative in the applicant's proposal identified as Attachment 'A' except as modified by the approval or the conditions below. Modifications to the operation of the facility other than those addressed through this decision,		ped in their application.	3	3	2	

including, but not limited to, the relocation of additional activities, or the configuration of relocated activities in a manner not substantially in conformance with the submitted conceptual site plan, shall require approval through a Modification of a Conditional Use Permit request (BCC 53.225).				
OMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS     narrative not provided, attachment A not provided      Republic Services believes it is in compliance with the terms of the 2011 conditional use permit				
<ul> <li>Republic Services believes it is in compliance with the terms of the 2011 conditional use permit.</li> <li>Why doesn't the county monitor compliance, considering that the county receives \$X million per biennium which original supposed to be dedicated to issues associated with hosting a landfill?</li> </ul>	lly was			
2. As required by BCC 60.220 (2) the applicant shall record a declaratory statement acknowledging the rights of adjacent and nearby property owners to conduct forest operations consistent with the Forest Practices Act and Rules prior to issuance of building permits.  COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS  • has staff verified documentation		4	3	1
3. The applicant shall demonstrate compliance with the applicable siting standards specified in BCC 60.405 through materials submitted for issuance of building permits.  COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS		7		1
certificate of occupancy? ADA compliance?				
4. All new facilities constructed under this approval shall comply with the applicable provisions of Building Code, Electrical Code, Plumbing Code, Mechanical Code, Fire Code, and rules and regulations imposed by state and federal agencies.		7		1
COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS				

certificate of occupancy?				
Conditions of Approval from PC-03-11 that remain applicable and should be continued (as of the time of this decision):				
Obtain necessary approvals from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality for landfill operations on this site.	The Comm. Dev. Department does not confirm and inspect records to ensure that conditions such as these are completed. It is a DEQ permit and if the DEQ does not approve the permit then the applicant could not continue the use and would be out of compliance.	3	3	2
COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS				
DEQ permit approvals should be listed here. Are all of them up to compare the second sec	date?			
Republic Services is in compliance with the DEQ requirement.				
<ul> <li>when was the most recent LUCS on file at DEQ completed?</li> </ul>				
2. In cases where landfill operations are the primary cause of traffic on unpaved public roads in the area, those roads shall be kept dust-free by the applicant.	No longer applicable. Coffin Butte Road is entirely paved.	5		2
COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>The status assessment here does not address whether unpaved public roads were kept dust-free prior to paving. For example,         Tampico Road has only been fully paved within the past 3 years, and received frequent landfill traffic. Did the applicant         demonstrate compliance by performing dust suppression on that road prior to the recent paving by the county? Robison Road,         Wiles Road, and Rifle Range Road still receives frequent traffic associated with the landfill, as well as frequent illegal dumping.         All of these are still unpaved, except for small sections of Robison Rd. east of Military Rd and at the Soap Creek crossing.</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>there continue to be unpaved public roads in the vicinity of the lan so, how much?</li> </ul>	ndfill. does the landfill contribute to traffic on those roads? if			
3. The applicant or lease-holding operator shall ensure that the landfill operation does not exceed the maximum sound level permitted by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.	County monitoring of this condition is complaint-based.	3	1	4
COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS		1		

<ul> <li>It should be stated more clearly that compliance cannot be confired noise is an issue at the landfill; ensure the facility is still in compliance.</li> </ul>				
4. Provide on-site parking for employees, customers, and visitors to the landfill site.	In compliance.	7		1
truck traffic to the landfill begins backing up HWY 99 beginning be safety hazard	fore 4:30am; ensure that traffic to the landfill does not pose a	-		
<b>5.</b> Maintain a security fence between the landfill operation and the public road when such road is located within 200 feet of the landfill operation.	In compliance.	6		1
<ul> <li>COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS</li> <li>check fence perimeter to ensure fencing is intact and there are no</li> </ul>	deferred maintenance issues			
<b>6</b> . The landfill operation hours shall occur between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Monday through Saturday, and 12:00 p.m. through 5:00 p.m. on Sundays, with 24-hour access for commercial customers.	Per Ian McNab: Monday through Friday internal operations begin at approximately 4:30am. The site opens to commercial vehicles starting at 5am and to public vehicles starting at 8am. The site closes to both commercial and public vehicles at 5pm with onsite operations wrapping up around 5:30pm. The hours are self-imposed and can be extended based on a customer's needs.	4	2	3
	On Saturday internal operations begin at approximately 7:30am. The site opens to commercial and public traffic at 8am and closes to both at 5pm. Internal operations finish around 5:30pm. The site is closed on Sunday.			
	The contractors constructing landfill infrastructure are allowed to operate outside of normal landfill hours, however, typically do not start before 6am and typically end by 7pm. Occasionally they also work on Sunday.			

COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS				
Clearly not in compliance. if landfill operations routinely start at 4:3	30 am M-F. Operations are operations, no way to spin this.			
Not in Compliance				
<ul> <li>Republic Services is in compliance with this requirement, which government internal operations, which include the arrival of field personnel on-a.m. on Saturday. Additional operations not governed by the conditional landfill infrastructure, and commercial customers, who have 24-horses.</li> </ul>	site, begins at 4:30 a.m., Monday through Friday, and at 7:30 tional use permit include work by third party contractors on			
7. The applicant shall retain the dual-access road system to provide for emergency service access to the subject site.	Additional Research Needed	1	3	5
COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS				
good candidate for chapter 77 review				
<ul> <li>Republic Services is in compliance with this requirement. The easter during the construction of Cell 4 in 2012. Access is maintained today</li> </ul>				
This assessment of status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the working group to compare the status is not possible for the status i	confirm until research has been completed.			
8. OMITTED	N/A			
9. Copies of water quality and air quality permits, and data produced from associated monitoring programs, required of the applicant by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, shall be placed on file, in a timely way, with the Benton County Community Development Department for public inspection.	The county regularly receives copies.	5	1	2
COMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS				
<ul> <li>landfill not in compliance with June surface emission methane more independent assessment of overall methane emissions, arsenic lever requests, odor complaints to DEQ are not documented/investigate residents have given up on making complaints to DEQ, annual report</li> </ul>	els in monitoring wells are high, odor: per public records d/logged to the extent that testimony has been given that			

addressing those concerns" (ORS 459.325) have not been completed and submitted as required by Oregon statute. even now, there is no DSAC meeting dedicated to this required activity. as a result, DEQ has not been informed of many of the problems that citizens experience at coffin butte landfill. contamination: domestic wells have been contaminated			
<ul> <li>These have not all been made available for public inspection. Whether this is the fault of the applicant or the county is impossible to judge, as a member of the interested public. During the 2021 CUP process, I had to use Public Information Request procedures to obtain information from DEQ that was not available from Benton County.</li> </ul>			
10. Copies of storm-water runoff permits and data produced from associated monitoring programs required of the applicant by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, shall be placed on file in a timely way, with the Benton County Community Development Department for public inspection.  The county regularly receives copies.  The county regularly receives copies.	5	3	1
<ul> <li>During the July 2022 DSAC meeting, Applicant's representative stated that runoff reports for the PRC composting facility were provided to DEQ but not to Benton County, because the county had never requested those explicitly. This needs to be clarified.</li> </ul>			

Date	File #	Request		Result			
2013	LU-13-061	Conditional Use Permit for "minor additions and modifications" at Coffin Butte Landfill to change the location of a construction staging and storage area in the vicinity of the existing office structure.  COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS					
		missing documentation, see pp 1-7					
Condition	Conditions of Approval Status			1	2	3	

1. Development shall comply with the plans and narrative in the applicant's proposal identified as Attachment 'A', except as modified by the approval or the conditions below. Modifications to the operation of the facility other than those addressed through this decision, including, but not limited to, the relocation of additional activities, or the configuration of relocated activities in a manner not substantially in conformance with the submitted conceptual site plan, shall require approval through a Modification of a Conditional Use Permit request (BCC 53. 225).	Complied with.	6		1
OMMITTEE MEMBER STATUS COMMENTS     narrative not provided, attachment a not provided				
2. As required by BCC 60.220 (2), the applicant shall record a declaratory statement acknowledging the rights of adjacent and nearby property owners to conduct forest operations consistent with the Forest Practices Act and Rules prior to issuance of building permits.	Additional Research Needed	2	2	4
Republic Services understands this requirement. At this juncture,	no building permits have been issued for this area.			
<ul> <li>This explanation of status cannot be accepted until the topic has be unknown compliance</li> </ul>	een researched.			
3. Any new access to a county road or change to an existing access shall require a permit issued through the Benton County Public Works Department.	Advisory	5	1	1
<ul> <li>COMMITTEE COMMENTS</li> <li>have changes in landfill access received a public works permit?</li> <li>Republic Services has not added or requested additional access an</li> </ul>	nd understands the stated requirement.			

<b>4.</b> Disturbance of 1 Acre or More. A National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System NPDES) permit is required for all construction activities that disturb one acre or more. The NPDES permit must be obtained through Benton County Public Works and the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.	Advisory	4	1	2
<ul> <li>COMMITTEE COMMENTS</li> <li>have there been construction activities that disturb one acre or m</li> <li>No evidence of compliance.</li> <li>Republic Services has not added or requested additional access are</li> </ul>				
Conditions of Approval from prior approvals that remain in effect (as of the time of this decision):				
Obtain necessary approvals from the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality for Landfill operations on this site.	The Comm. Dev. Department does not confirm and inspect records to ensure that conditions such as these are completed. It is a DEQ permit and if the DEQ does not approve the permit then the applicant could not continue the use and would be out of compliance.	3	3	1
This is not part of the Landfill and DEQ permits are not required. It Services will obtain them.	f such approvals become necessary in the future, Republic			
<ul> <li>when was the most recent LUCS on file at DEQ completed? if the I would DEQ still approve all necessary permits?</li> </ul>	landfill is not compliant with land use conditions of aprroval,			
2. In cases where Landfill operations are the primary cause of traffic on unpaved public roads in the area, those roads shall be kept dust -free by the applicant.	No longer applicable. Coffin Butte Road is entirely paved.	5		1
No evidence of compliance.				

<ul> <li>there continue to be unpaved public roads in the vicinity of the la so, how much?</li> </ul>	ndfill. does the landfill contribute to traffic on those roads? if			
<b>3</b> . The applicant or lease - holding operator shall ensure that the Landfill operation does not exceed the maximum sound level permitted by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality.	County monitoring of this condition is complaint-based.	3	2	2
<ul> <li>COMMITTEE COMMENTS</li> <li>County cannot confirm compliance.</li> <li>noise is an issue at the landfill; ensure the facility is still in compliance.</li> <li>Republic Services has no record of complaints on this parcel.</li> </ul>	ince			
<b>4.</b> Provide on-site parking for employees, customers, and visitors to the Landfill site.	In compliance.	7		
<ul> <li>truck traffic to the landfill begins backing up HWY 99 beginning be safety hazard</li> </ul>	efore 4:30am; ensure that traffic to the landfill does not pose a			
5. Maintain a security fence between the Landfill operation and the public road when such road is located within 200 feet of the Landfill operation.	In compliance.	7		
check fence perimeter to ensure fencing is intact and there are not	deferred maintenance issues			
<b>6</b> . The Landfill operation hours shall occur between 8: 00 a.m. and 5: 00 p.m. Monday through Saturday, and 12: 00 p.m. through 5: 00 p.ni. on Sundays, with 24 -hour access for commercial customers.	Per Ian McNab: Monday through Friday internal operations begin at approximately 4:30am. The site opens to commercial vehicles starting at 5am and to public vehicles starting at 8am. The site closes to both commercial and public vehicles at 5pm with onsite operations wrapping up around 5:30pm. The hours are self-imposed and can be extended based on a customer's needs.	3	2	3

	On Saturday internal operations begin at approximately 7:30am. The site opens to commercial and public traffic at 8am and closes to both at 5pm. Internal operations finish around 5:30pm. The site is closed on Sunday.  The contractors constructing landfill infrastructure are allowed to operate outside of normal landfill hours, however, typically do not start before 6am and typically end by 7pm. Occasionally they also work on Sunday.			
<ul> <li>COMMITTEE COMMENTS</li> <li>Clearly not in compliance.</li> <li>not in compliance</li> <li>This is not part of Coffin Butte Landfill operations. It is a material s</li> </ul>	torage facility. There are no operating hours here.			
<ul> <li>7. The applicant shall retain the dual - access road system to provide for emergency service access to the subject site.</li> <li>COMMITTEE COMMENTS <ul> <li>Awaiting additional research.</li> <li>Republic Services is in compliance with this requirement. The east during the construction of Cell 4 in 2012. Access is maintained tod</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Additional Research Needed  ern haul access road was relocated, per county regulations,	2	2	4
8. OMITTED	N/A			
<ul> <li>9. Copies of water quality and air quality permits, and data produced from associated monitoring programs, required of the applicant by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, shall be placed on file, in a timely way, with the Benton County Community Development Department for public inspection.</li> <li>COMMITTEE COMMENTS</li> <li>As noted above, these are not available for public inspection as recommendation.</li> </ul>	The county regularly receives copies.	3	3	1

#### Homework Compilation of Summary of Responses to Informal Triaging

landfill not in compliance with June surface emission methane monitoring required by DEQ; Benton County should obtain an independent assessment of overall methane emissions, arsenic levels in monitoring wells are high, odor: per public records requests, odor complaints to DEQ are not documented/investigated/logged to the extent that testimony has been given that residents have given up on making complaints to DEQ, annual report to DEQ; for many years, the reports required by Benton County DSAC to DEQ "documenting local citizens' concerns and the manner in which the owner or operator [of the landfill] is addressing those concerns" (ORS 459.325) have not been completed and submitted as required by Oregon statute. even now, there is no DSAC meeting dedicated to this required activity. as a result, DEQ has not been informed of many of the problems that citizens experience at coffin butte landfill. contamination: domestic wells have been contaminated There are no permits or monitoring data as this relates to a material storage facility. 10. Copies of storm -water runoff permits and data produced from The county regularly receives copies. 3 3 associated monitoring programs required of the applicant by the Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, shall be placed on file in a timely way, with the Benton County Community Development Department for public inspection. **COMMITTEE COMMENTS** As noted above, these are not available for public inspection as required. There are no permits or monitoring data as this relates to a material storage facility.

Date	File #	Request	Result
2015	LU-15-001	Alteration of a nonconforming use to continue and enhance a stormwater treatment facility in the Exclusive Farm Use Zone, associated with Coffin Butte Landfill.	Community Development Department Approved
		COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS     missing documentation, see pp 1-7	

Conditions of Approval	Status	1	2	3
1. Development shall substantially comply with the plans and narrative in the applicant's proposal identified as Attachment A. Significant modifications to the construction or operation of the stormwater system other than those addressed through this decision shall require additional approval.	The Comm. Dev. Department does not confirm and inspect records to ensure that conditions such as these are completed. It is a DEQ permit and if the DEQ does not approve the permit then the applicant could not continue the use and would be out of compliance.	3	3	1
OMMITTEE COMMENTS     attachment a is not provided				
<ul> <li>This development condition was at the County's discretion. Howe monitoring, Republic Services has all the DEQ permits necessary a</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>We are missing a clear statement from the county on whether the comments.</li> </ul>	site is in compliance, independent of DEQ. See previous			
2. The applicant shall obtain and maintain compliance with the terms of all necessary federal, state, and local permits for construction and operation of the stormwater system described in this application.	The Comm. Dev. Department does not confirm and inspect records to ensure that conditions such as these are completed. It is a DEQ permit and if the DEQ does not approve the permit then the applicant could not continue the use and would be out of compliance.	3	3	1
COMMITTEE COMMENTS				
• the stormwater system is in an area identified as "wetlands" – does	es this trigger additional permitting requirmenets			
This development condition was at the County's discretion. However, as it relates to stormwater system construction and monitoring, Republic Services has all the DEQ permits necessary and is in compliance.				
<ul> <li>We are missing a clear statement from the county on whether the comments.</li> </ul>	site is in compliance, independent of DEQ. See previous			

Date	File #	Request	Result
			1

2021	LU-21-047	Conditional Use Permit to expand Coffin Butte Landfill. Republic Services proposed: to create a new disposal cell for the Coffin Butte Landfill which will extend from the current cell south of Coffin Butte Road; close Coffin Butte Road to public traffic (vacate the right-of-way*) so the new cell can cover the road; relocate a replacement roadway (for landfill and quarry traffic only) around the area of the new disposal cell; relocate the leachate ponds south of Coffin Butte Road, and move some other structures.	Planning Commission Denied; PC Decision
		Closing Coffin Butte Road will likely require improvement of at least one other roadway in the area to accommodate increased traffic—potentially Tampico Road or Wiles and Robison Roads.  COMMITTEE COMMENTS	
		<ul> <li>Republic Services appealed the Planning Commission's decision, but voluntarily withdrew that appeal to engage with the community and participate in the Oregon Consensus process. Republic Services and Coffin Butte Landfill remain committed to the Oregon Consensus process and this group's work. We look forward to being part of Benton County's long-term solid waste management and diversion solution strategy.</li> </ul>	