Q1. Names:

Fifteen Total:

- Brian Fuller (Ex-Officio)
- Christopher McMorran
- Daniel Redick (Ex-Officio)
- Elizabeth (Liz) Irish
- Russ Knocke (*Russ Knocke and Shawn Edmonds submitted one survey for both of them.)
- Shawn Edmonds (*Russ Knocke and Shawn Edmonds submitted one survey for both of them.)
- John Deuel
- Joel Geier
- Kathryn Duvall
- Louisa Shelby
- Marge Popp
- Mary Parmigiani
- Nancy Whitcombe
- Ryan McAlister
- Sean McGuire (Ex-Officio)

Q2. Polling or Ex-Officio Members (Completing HW Survey):

Members	Number of Completed Surveys
Polling	12
Ex-Officio	3
Total	15

Q3. Alternate Information:

Organization Interest Group	Member	Alternate	Polling	Ex Officio
SWAC/DSAC	Joel Geier	Chuck Gilbert	Х	
SWAC/DSAC	Marge Popp		Х	
Planning Commission	Nancy Whitcombe		Х	
Planning Commission	Elizabeth Irish		Х	
Republic: National	Russ Knocke	Ginger Rough	Х	
Republic: Local	Shawn Edmonds	Julie Jackson	Х	
Public	John Deuel		Х	

Public	Kathryn Duvall		Х	
Public	Christopher McMorran	Catherine Biscoe	Х	
Public	Ryan McAlister		Х	
Public	Mary Parmigiani		Х	
Public	Ed Pitera		Х	
Public	Louisa Shelby		Х	
DEQ	Brian Fuller			Х
Marion County	Brian May			Х
Linn County	Shane Sanderson			Х
Benton County Staff	Daniel Redick			Х
Benton County Staff	Scott Kruger			Х
Benton County Staff	Sean McGuire	Jen Brown		Х

Q4. Reponses to "All things considered, the BCTT Workgroup membership is balanced fairly."

Fifteen Responses:

	Strongly Disagree (zero)	(one)	(two)	Neutral (three)	(four)	(five)	Strongly Agree (six)	Average
Polling Votes	2	0	2	1	4	1	2	3.3
Ex- Officio Votes	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4
Total Votes	2	0	2	1	7	1	2	3.5

Q5. Reasons Provided for Q4 Responses:

Fifteen Responses:

- A little hard to answer because I'm trying to remember where everyone was from/representing.
- At least one of the members of the public should be knowledgeable about, and a close resident of the landfill.
- Do we have any Black, Indigenous, or other People of Color in the group? Did anyone check? I know there were some who applied. Are OSU students represented? They're a very big part of the community, at least 9 months out of the year. The list is strongly tilted toward "customers" of the landfill. The two neighboring jurisdictions chosen are both counties with an interest in dumping waste here in Benton County. Major local industries that have an interest in destinations for their waste (medical, construction, university) were taken into consideration. There are no "environmental customers" representing downwind or downstream environmental impacts. There seem to be no representatives from rural parts of the county, including rural northern Benton County, not counting two individuals who are tasked with representing other entities. There are no representatives for agriculture.
- I am not sure yet. I am still getting to know everyone in the group.
- It feels relatively fairly balanced in numbers, it just feels like a small number of people are taking up a large amount of our time.
- No landfill neighbor has been seated as a member of the public on the workgroup
- people at the table represent a broad cross section of stakeholders
- Someone from the City of Corvallis should be on the workgroup if they are not (add affiliations for Public on charter if applicable).
- There appears to be no representation on the Working Group of the more racially diverse or rural parts of the county. In 2021, Benton County was more diverse than it was in 2010. In 2021, the white (non-Hispanic) group made up 79.7% of the population compared with 83.7% in 2010.
- There seem to be several diverse perspectives represented, though some areas of the county seem to be under-represented. It is difficult to gauge the balance, because there are some voices that have not been heard, some voices heard often, and there has been a relatively low level of group dialogue on important issues so far.
- There is representation of all sides of the issue Representation from the community that lives next to landfill Representation from the company (Republic) that owns the landfill Good balance of men and woman Good representation of different ages
- Tribal representation (Confederate Tribes of Grand Ronde) Hospital waste management representative.
- Unsure of the vetting process or the criteria for selection. So I really only have the opening introductions and dialogue as a reference.
- We need someone representing large businesses and the Benton County economic interests on the committee. The open position should be filled with someone who represents similar industry and/or sized business as the member vacating his position. (x2)

Q6. If you believe the Workgroup is not balanced fairly, please suggest what part(s) of the community are not currently at the table or need additional representation for fairness.

Ten Responses:

- Expand the group to include Indigenous, Black, and other people of color, agriculture, environmental groups, and OSU students.
- Hospitals produce 29 lbs. of waste per bed per day (Practice Green Health, 2022) so we may need to know about their waste management. I can also try to work on this.
- I think the Workgroup is balanced fairly.
- I didn't catch if there was someone on there who lives close to the landfill. If not, I think that would be beneficial.
- It might be good to have more industrial waste producers (folks from the lumber mills in Philomath, etc.)
- Latino and rural landowners, particularly the farming community
- Nearby resident of the landfill.
- N/A
- Representation from Monroe, Alsea, Blodgett, or Kings Valley areas could be helpful.
- This in part depends on the focus of the group, a solid waste plan will have different needs than discussing the process for expanding a landfill.

Q7. Specific persons to recommend for Q6:

Eight Responses:

- I don't have anyone specifically in mind at this time. Prior to the start of the group, I had contacted the NAACP, but failed to follow through.
- I have emailed the OSU student group Kaku-Ixt Mana to see if they might be interested in attending meetings. Emailed the Oregon Climate Change Research Institute (OCCRI) Director for suggestions for Tribal organizations (they have worked with Tribes on climate assessments).
 Philomath Mayor Chas Jones is a Tribal liaison for The Affiliated Tribes of Northwest Indians (a community member told me this and I googled it). Maybe he has a contact.
- I don't have a specific name to recommend.
- I'm not sure.
- N/A
- N/A
- Nope.
- Several people in the above categories including "BIPOC" people have already applied but were
 not appointed by the Commissioners. So you have their names already. Please review that list.
 Other good candidates include Ismail Warsame (former international student coordinator for
 OSU, now with Port of Portland) Faye Yoshihara (Benton County Soil & Water Conservation
 District) Danny Jaffer (Luckiamute Water Cooperative) Travis Williams (Willamette Riverkeeper)

Dave Ehlers (Luckiamute Watershed Council and Benton County Small Woodlands Owners Association) Marvin Gilmore (local farmer and landfill neighbor) Byron Cook (local farmer and landfill neighbor) Kelly Gordon (local farmer and landfill neighbor)

Q8. Topic Allocation (Including New Poll and Initial Poll):

HW for 2 nd meeting	A. Common Understandings	B. Landfill Expansion Cond./Info	C. Scoping L-T SMMP Process	D. Additional Topics	E. Community Education	Total
Polling 1	15	20	30	10	20	100%
Polling 2	25	20	35	10	10	100%
Polling 3	30	30	30	5	5	100%
Polling 4	30	30	30	5	5	100%
Polling 5	40	0	50	0	10	100%
Polling 6	15	25	25	20	15	100%
Polling 7	0	0	100	0	0	100%
Polling 8	20	20	40	15	5	100%
Polling 9	35	25	15	15	10	100%
Polling 10	20	5	60	5	10	100%
Polling 11	25	20	30	10	15	100%
Polling 12	10	30	40	15	5	100%
TOTAL	22.1%	18.75%	40.4%	9.2%	9.2%	100%
Ex-Officio 1	33	30	17	16	4	100%
Ex-Officio 2	30	25	20	10	15	100%
Ex-Officio 3	20	10	40	10	20	100%
TOTAL	27.7%	21.7 %	25.7%	12%	13%	100%
Polling + Ex-Officio TOTAL	23.2%	19.3%	37.5%	9.7%	9.9%	100%

HW for 1 st meeting	A. Common Understandings	B. Landfill Expansion Cond./Info	C. Scoping L-T SMMP Process	D. Additional Topics	E. Community Education	Total
Polling – 14	24.2%	29.4%	34.3%	6.8%	8.0%	100%
Ex-Officio – 2	32%	45.5%	13%	7.5%	2%	100%

Q9. The landfill tour tentatively scheduled for 9/17/2022 does not work. Would you be able to attend a tour on 9/24/2022 from approximately 10:00 AM to Noon with details to follow?

	Yes	No	Undecided
# of Responses	9	1	5

Q10. Other Comments:

Seven Comments:

- I need to check on something to attend the tour on the 24th, but I am 75% sure I can attend.
- I haven't hunted up e-mail addresses for the additional candidates who I suggested, because I'm not convinced that this is a serious effort to broaden the working group. I'm aware that many people applied in the previous round, and never got a follow-up. Let me know if you and the Commissioners are serious, and then I'll work more on this.
- I think we need to quickly coalesce around what the ask from the Board is and get started down that path. We will take too long if we continue to swirl around what the group is tasked to do and what changes members may want to see. More trust in the process is needed. Would be helpful to understand why some want to focus on a MM plan and what they think the benefit of that effort or outcome will be. Same for those that want to focus on roles of SWAC, DSAC and planning commission in the approval process for an expanded landfill.
- I will be out of town for the weekend of 9/24
- I strongly believe that one of the original 'members of the public' should have been a nearby resident of the Coffin Butte landfill. I believe this is an opportunity to repair that oversight. The neighbors of Coffin Butte have shown themselves to be an exceptionally informed and astute group, steeped in the sciences that are germane to this issue. Therefore, I am in favor of appointing a nearby resident to this committee.
- I could attend a landfill tour on 10/1
- None.
- Nope.