



BCTT Work Group Meeting 3 Evaluation Summary



	1 Very Dissatisfied	2 Dissatisfied	3 Okay	4 Satisfied	5 Very Satisfied
1. Overall Meeting	1 (0)	2 (0)	3 (0)	4 (3)	5 (0)
2. Présentations	1 (0)	2 (0)	3 (0)	4 (2)	5 (1)
3. Materials	1 (0)	2 (0)	3 (1)	4 (1)	5 (1)
4. Discussions	1 (0)	2 (0)	3 (1)	4 (1)	5 (1)
5. Facilitator	1 (0)	2 (0)	3 (1)	4 (0)	5 (2)
6. Pace	<i>Too Slow</i>		<i>Just Right</i>		<i>Too Fast</i>
	1 (0)	2 (1)	3 (1)	4 (1)	5 (0)

7. What were the most useful parts of the meeting?

- I appreciated Chair Wyse clarifying their direction for the group.
- The substantive communications on the content of the documents used to make decisions to date.
- We are getting clarity on what we are being tasked to do and how to accomplish it

8. What things would you have changed?

- Maintain the focus on facts and outcomes. Avoid delving into opinions of professional skills/competencies of those who have been or are involved in addressing this difficult Solid Waste Management/Land Use problem.
- We spent a lot of time discussing lawyer selection ideas

9. Do you have any additional comments that you would like the facilitation team concerning the overall Work Group process?

- Consider establishing a "Parking Lot" for nascent ideas that may be of interest to the group but are not quite ready for full discussion or not appropriate to consider at this time. Example: Stop quarrying operations now and proceed with building out the landfill in that direction thus avoiding the expansion to the south of Coffin Butte Road. It has probably been considered but does not really fit in the charges the group is operating under. Maybe these types of ideas can be captured for others to consider later.



BCTT Work Group Meeting 3 Evaluation Summary



10. Your Name?

- Brian May
- Ed Pitera
- Ryan McAlister