



BCTT Work Group Meeting 2 Evaluation

Responses:	1 Very Dissatisfied	2 Dissatisfied	3 Okay	4 Satisfied	5 Very Satisfied
1. Overall Meeting	1 (0)	2 (1)	3 (2)	4 (6)	5 (2)
2. Présentations	1 (0)	2 (1)	3 (3)	4 (5)	5 (2)
3. Materials	1 (1)	2 (0)	3 (1)	4 (7)	5 (2)
4. Discussions	1 (0)	2 (3)	3 (2)	4 (5)	5 (1)
5. Facilitator	1 (0)	2 (1)	3 (2)	4 (3)	5 (5)
6. Pace	<i>Too Slow</i>		<i>Just Right</i>		<i>Too Fast</i>
	1 (0)	2 (1)	3 (5)	4 (5)	5 (0)

	Average Score	Median Score
Overall Meeting:	3.8	4
Presentations:	3.7	4
Materials:	3.8	4
Discussions:	3.4	4
Facilitator:	4.1	4
Pace:	3.4	3

7. What were the most useful parts of the meeting?

- Constructive conversation! This felt more productive and less acrimonious than the last meeting.
- Discussions.
- Generating a Common Understandings Table of Contents
- I appreciate that Sam keeps us on task, even when we get off topic.



BCTT Work Group Meeting 2 Evaluation



- Many of the participants are very knowledgeable about the issues and passionate. Community comments are extremely helpful. The facilitator provides kind and clear comments which is effective.
- Presentation of historical landfill intake. Formation of small group to get to common understanding on landfill intake volumes.
- Public comments at the beginning, particularly the presentation by Mr. Nietfeld which was extremely clear in framing a key issue.
- The discussion about how to prioritize our work further. I felt I understood the urgency of defining the process for the next CUP while continuing to emphasize and work on the SWMP scoping.
- The most useful parts were discussing what the time allocations will be.
- We continue to appreciate the input provided by Workgroup members.

8. What things would you have changed?

- A "big picture" discussion of solid waste issues should have come first, with adequate time allocated in the schedule to bring the group to at least a baseline understanding of long-term options, before asking this group to opine on very detailed aspects of one particular issue.
- I do not believe this group has been given any authority in our charter to revisit past land use decisions and determine if we agree with them. We need to be focusing on the task of the charter that we have been tasked with.
- I feel that the group has a tendency to get off track. The BOC have provided a direction for the group.
- I would have gotten into the Common Understandings a bit and attempted to form some foundational ideas that we could then use to start to scope the Solid Waste Management Plan.
- I would have kept things in visual perspective with two maps. One map of the human and ecological receptors within a 3 mile radius of the landfill. This would help illustrate who and what is potentially impacted by the landfill. The second map is of the counties west of the Cascades showing: a) the outline of the counties and the amount of material they send for disposal at Coffin Butte; use an average of the last 3 years of disposal data for the quantification of the contribution. b) the location of the landfill servicing that watershed. This second map would help illustrate the required reach of the Benton County solid waste management plan to be effective in reducing the load on Coffin Butte.
- I would like Sam to state the purpose and goals of the meeting in the very beginning. Clearly. For example. The County Commissioners what us to..... not.... My hope is that we can stay on topic.
- More roast beef sandwiches!
- The process goes slowly but that is to be expected.

9. Do you have any additional comments that you would like the facilitation team concerning the overall Work Group process?

- I feel that the meeting was much more productive than the first. I am excited to see how it all comes together.
- It was helpful to have the other staff from the Facilitation group to help us at the meeting.



BCTT Work Group Meeting 2 Evaluation



- Thank you for explaining the results of the polls. It is interesting to understand how the system can be inappropriately skewed e.g. the work effort time distribution poll. Please keep your positive attitude.
- The draft documents are still a mess. We're being asked to comment on numerous detailed issues regarding compliance where county staff have not yet had time to even research the issues. The whole thing looks like a "rush job" and we only have 24 hours of meeting time left in the schedule to address a multitude of complex issues. It seems that the facilitator plans to make up for this problem by asking us all to spend many hours of our own time outside of the meeting, beyond what is already a substantial time commitment for volunteers. I don't see this coming to any kind of useful conclusion by December.

10. Your Name?

- Brian May
- Ed Pitera
- Joel Geier
- John Deuel
- Kathryn Duvall
- Liz Irish
- Louisa Shelby
- Mary Parmigiani
- Ryan McAlister
- Sean McGuire
- Shawn Edmonds