### 1. Agenda

Topic	Lead	Start	Duration	Materials
Welcome New Member Introductions Review Participant Meeting Instructions Review Agenda BOC Presentation Approve Draft Minutes: Last Meeting & Tours Landfill Tour Questions	Facilitator	3:00	35 mins	Working Agenda Draft M2 Minutes  Landfill Tour Minutes  Neighborhood Tour Minutes  Landfill Tour Qs  Neighborhood Tour Qs (Email attachment)
Public Comment	Public	3:35	25 mins	
Comments on Meeting Two Evaluation Suggestions for Improving the Between-Meeting Comments and Project Email Protocols.  E.g., "Does the Workgroup want substantive emails to be copied directly to them, as well as to the process email/me; or, wait to get them a day or two before the meeting?" The result will be receiving the important stuff in real time, but more work for you between meetings.	Workgroup	4:00	15 mins	BCTT M2 Evaluation Comments (Email attachment)
Stretch Break	All	4:15	5 mins	
Discuss County Counsel Deference Memo and Set Stage for Legal Subcommittee	Workgroup	4:20	15 mins	County Counsel Deference Memo
Quick Check-in: Are you willing to fully and collaboratively support the Board's direction on the Charge? Please provide your reasoning regardless of your answer.	Workgroup	4:35	30 mins Two Min. Written Prep One Min. Per Member	
Dinner Break: Meet Someone New	All	5:05	25 mins	
Big Picture: Bridge Process to Set Stage for Subsequent Processes and Decisions Homework Summaries and Next Steps:	Workgroup	5:30	60 mins (20 mins each)	1) Common Understandings Table of Contents 2) SWMP Table of Contents 3) CUP Conditions

1) Common Understandings Table of Contents 2) SWMP Table of Contents (Subcommittee?) 3) CUP Conditions (Below Subcommittee)  Stand-Up the Subcommittees: 1) Landfill Size/Capacity/Longevity Subcommittee (Existing) 2) CUP Conditions Subcommittee (Existing) 3) Law Subcommittee (Pending) a) Land Use Law 101 b) Deference Memos				Member Memo County Counsel Deference Memo
c) Rights and Obligations d) Entity Rights and Obligations e) Reporting Requirements 4) Potential SWMP Subcommittee and Potential Amendment Request to BOC 5) Volunteers?	Workgroup	6:30	15 mins	Republic Memo: Section 2 C and Section 3  Charge C
Amended Workplan  10/27/22 Meeting Four Major Topics:  1) Subcommittee Progress				
Reports  a) Landfill  Size/Capacity/Longevit  y				Charge B (Email Attachment)
b) Charge B: CUP Conditions c) Charge C: Scope SWMP Process d) Law	Facilitator	6:45	10 mins	Staff Memo: Charge B: Interpreting Provisions of Benton County's Development Code
<ul> <li>2) Additional Common Understandings <ul> <li>a) Solid Waste History</li> <li>b) Assumptions</li> <li>c) Economics</li> </ul> </li> </ul>				(Email attachment)
d) Example Jurisdictions 11/3/22 Meeting Five Major Topics: 1) Introduce Charge D: Input on Additional Topics:				

a) Scope tasks to Plan Hauling Reopener				
b) SWAC/DSAC Role				
Clarity and PC/BOC				
Criteria Use				
c) Code Change Timeline				
2) Introduce Charge E: Public-				
Facing Document and				
Community Education				
Campaign				
11/17/22 Meeting Six Major Topics:				
1) Review Work, Authorize				
Draft, and Request Feedback				
12/1/22 Meeting Seven Major Topics:				
1) Review SWAS/DSAC and				
Planning Commission				
Feedback and Edit Report				
12/15/22 Meeting Eight Major Topics:				
1) Finalize Report				
2) Adjourn				
Next Steps:				Meeting
Member Meeting Three				Evaluation Link
Evaluation (Due Monday at				
5:00 PM)				
2) Homework for Next Meeting:	Facilitator	6:55	5 mins	
TBD Based on Above				
3) Next Meeting: 10/27/22 @ 3:00				
PM				
A 1:	A 11	7.00		THE STANFORM
Adjourn	All	7:00		

#### 2. Attendance

Organization Interest Group	WORKGROUP Member	Alternate	Polling	Ex Officio	Charge	Present
SWAC/DSAC	Joel Geier	Chuck Gilbert	Х		All	Х
SWAC/DSAC	Marge Popp		X		All	X
Planning Commission	Nancy Whitcombe		Х		All	X
Planning Commission	Elizabeth Irish		Х		All	Х

Republic: National	Russ Knocke	Ginger Rough	X		All but C	x
Republic: Local	Shawn Edmonds	Julie Jackson	Х		All but C	Х
Public	John Deuel		X		All	Χ
Public	Kathryn Duvall		X		All	Χ
Public	Christopher McMorran		Х		All	
Public	Ryan McAlister		Х		All	Х
Public	Mary Parmigiani		X		All	
Public	Ed Pitera		Х		All	Х
Public	Louisa Shelby		Х		All	X
Public	Catherine Biscoe		x		All	Х
DEQ	Brian Fuller			Х	All but D	Х
Marion County	Brian May	Andrew Johnson		х	Only C	Х
Linn County	Shane Sanderson			Х	Only C	Х
Benton County	Daniel Redick			Х	All	
Benton County	Sean McGuire	Jen Brown		X	All	X

#### **Benton County Staff**

Benton County	Darren Nichols	X
Benton County	Greg Verret	X
Benton County	Inga Williams	X
Benton County	Linda Ray	Χ

#### **Facilitation Staff:**

ICM Resolutions	Sam Imperati	Χ
ICM Resolutions	Amelia Webb	Χ

#### 3. Minutes

Topic	Minutes
Welcome	3:19
New Member Introductions	- Commissioner Wyse read statement to clarify the
Review Participant Meeting	workgroup's direction. (See Item 7, below.)
Instructions	- Commissioner answers questions
Review Agenda	- Sam reviews Agenda
BOC Presentation	
Public Comment	<ul> <li>Audrey Sterling (Community Member): Reflects that the talk in the community focuses on the idea that the landfill is full and what to do with the trash. They need to find a place for it, so the landfill does not overflow.</li> <li>Kristen Mitchell (Executive Director of Oregon Refuse &amp; Recycling): Explains what her organization does, noting that Coffin Bute is in very good standing. She also notes that because Senate Bill 882 was passed, RMA should come into effect soon.</li> <li>Cris Reese (Community Member and Small Business Owner): Expresses appreciation for the work Republic does at Coffin Bute and notes he does not want small businesses to be forced to pay garbage trucks to haul longer distances.</li> <li>Chuck Gilbert (Community Member): Comments on how the landfill and rock removal are both valuable resources.</li> <li>Jennifer Holworth (Community Member): Reflects positively on Republic's compost and recycling programs</li> </ul>
	3:50
Assessed Des (I.M.)	- Sam goes over documents and asks for questions
Approve Draft Minutes: Last Meeting & Tours	- Joel clarifies the changes he sent in that were not
& Tours	reflected in the member's printed copies.
	- Sam noted earlier email indicating they would be made
	3:45
	- Sam goes over Landfill Tour and Questions
	- Ed asks that the detailed notes document he created be
	posted on the website, too.
Landfill Tour Questions	3:56
~~ · · ·	- Sam goes over Neighborhood Tour and Questions and
	asks for questions on all documents.
	- What is the timeline for getting Republic's answers to
	the tour questions?

	- Shawn Edmonds – it will take some time to pull it
	together, but they should be able to present them to the subcommittee.
	subcommittee.
	Steps for this process:
	1) Republic responds to questions
	2) Members examine it
	3) Members note where they want more information
	<ol> <li>Subcommittee examines updated document and makes decisions</li> </ol>
Comments on Meeting Two Evaluation	4:02
Suggestions for Improving the	- Sam explains that Inga had to condense ~ 1,600 emails.
Between-Meeting Comments and	Some of the emails were valuable, and Sam is
Project Email Protocols.	concerned that Members are not able to access the
	important information in real time this way.
E.g., "Does the Workgroup want	- The group discusses, and several people agree that they
substantive emails to be copied directly	do not need to receive every email in real time.
to them, as well as to the process	- Joel suggests the County make a freelist.org account so
email/me; or, wait to get them a day or	people can personally choose if they want everything or
two before the meeting?" The result	just the weekly summary freelist.org provides.
will be receiving the important stuff in	- Greg says he will investigate this option.
real time, but more work for you	
between meetings.  Stretch Break	4:10
Stietch break	4:16
	- Sam notes there has been a lot of offline conversations
	about Charge B. Plan proposed to have legal
	subcommittee to present to the group on what the law
	is so the workgroup can make informed decisions.
	- Questions Asked:
	- What if the lawyers have different interpretations?
	- Is this really going to get the group to its goal?
Discuss County Counsel Deference	- Sam clarifies that if there are different perspectives, it is
Memo and Set Stage for Legal	ultimately up to the Workgroup to determined what
Subcommittee	they think/want.
	- Darren clarifies that a subcommittee is smaller than the
	quorum of the Workgroup so they can legally meet and
	report back to the Workgroup.
	<ul> <li>Discussion around creating an agenda that included comments on why each topic is included and what</li> </ul>
	comments on why each topic is included and what
	documents relate to which parts of the topic.  - Sam notes he will be facilitating the subcommittees, the

Out al. Charlein, Anamous willing to	4.46
Quick Check-in: Are you willing to fully and collaboratively support the Board's direction on the Charge? Please provide your reasoning regardless of your answer.	4:46 - Everyone does activity and shares responses Two members note that they are uncomfortable with the question.
Dinner Break: Meet Someone New	4:59
Big Picture: Bridge Process to Set Stage for Subsequent Processes and Decisions Homework Summaries and Next Steps: 4) Common Understandings Table of Contents 5) SWMP Table of Contents (Subcommittee?) CUP Conditions (Below Subcommittee)	<ul> <li>5:32</li> <li>Darren offers County perspective on the comments shared during the previous activity. He notes that they have a two-way dialogue with the Commissioners and 15 minutes at each Board meeting. He emphasizes that Sam's only interest is for the group to reach success, and then asks the room for any questions.</li> <li>5:36</li> <li>Sam reviews the charge elements and explains how the track changes members made fit into the workgroup process. Sam clarifies the processes used to assemble the track change documents.</li> <li>After reviewing the Common Understandings Table of Contents, Sam does the same process for the SWMP.</li> <li>Questions asked – clarification given on subcommittees.</li> <li>6:02</li> <li>Sam explains how the CUP track change document was created and the function of the CUP subcommittee.</li> </ul>
Stand-Up the Subcommittees:  1) Landfill     Size/Capacity/Longevity     Subcommittee (Existing)  2) CUP Conditions Subcommittee (Existing)  3) Law Subcommittee (Pending)     a) Land Use Law 101     b) Deference Memos     c) Rights and Obligations     d) Entity Rights and Obligations     e) Reporting Requirements  4) Potential SWMP Subcommittee     and Potential Amendment     Request to BOC  5) Volunteers?	<ul> <li>Subcommittee 1 will get the much-needed clarification and needed in this area and present it to the Workgroup.</li> <li>Discussion of having legal experts look at the legal information before Workgroup members. Consider having the County pay for independent counsel, as a neutral legal authority may be helpful. Although, different person should be used from last time.</li> <li>Greg clarifies that information provided by legal experts(s) would not be binding. It would be research.</li> <li>Discussion about the limits of Workgroup member expertise and "truth testing."</li> <li>The group decides that, within the Law Subcommittee.</li> <li>A) Greg takes a first crack at the Land Use 101 section. (Done.)</li> <li>B) The deference memo does not need to be vetted because the Board made it clear what they want.</li> <li>C) Is a function of summarizing the existing dynamics between the County and Republic.</li> </ul>

Amended Workplan	6:57
1	- Volunteers for Subcommittees:
10/27/22 Meeting Four Major Topics:	1) <u>Landfill Size/Capacity/Longevity</u>
3) Subcommittee Progress	a. Paul Nietfeld
Reports	b. Chuck Gilbert
e) Landfill	c. Republic Engineers
Size/Capacity/Longevity	d. Brian May
f) Charge B: CUP	e. Shane Sanderson
Conditions	f. Daniel Redick
g) Charge C: Scope SWMP	2) <u>CUP Conditions</u>
Process	a. Nancy Whitcome (if needed.) She is
h) Law	following up on a few other leads
4) Additional Common	b. Catherine Bisco
Understandings	c. Jeff Condit
e) Solid Waste History	d. Mark Yeager
f) Assumptions	3) <u>Law Subcommittie</u>
g) Economics	a. County Councile
h) Example Jurisdictions	b. Liz Irish
11/3/22 Meeting Five Major Topics:	c. Jeff Condit
3) Introduce Charge D: Input on	d. Jeff Kleinman
Additional Topics:	4) SWMP and Potential Amendment Request to BOC:
d) Scope tasks to Plan	a. Brian May
Hauling Reopener	b. Sean McGuire
e) SWAC/DSAC Role	c. John Devel
Clarity and PC/BOC	d. Marge Popp
Criteria Use	7:04
f) Code Change Timeline	- Examine Charge C
4) Introduce Charge E: Public-	- A way to think about the SWMP and/or SMMP is as a
Facing Document and	guide to the County to use when creating the RFP.
Community Education	- Joel Geier clarifies the diffrence between SWMP and
Campaign	SMMP.
11/17/22 Meeting Six Major Topics:	- SWMP = Solid Waste Managmet Plan. A plan to
2) Review Work, Authorize Draft,	put trash somwhere. SMMP = Sustanibule
and Request Feedback	Materials Managment Plan. A "cradel to grave"
12/1/22 Meeting Seven Major Topics:	plan for the cycle of things coming in and out of
2) Review SWAS/DSAC and	the county that takes in enviormental impacts.
Planning Commission	
Feedback and Edit Report	
12/15/22 Meeting Eight Major Topics:	
3) Finalize Report	
Adjourn	
Next Steps:	
4) Member Meeting Three	
Evaluation (Due Monday at	
5:00 PM)	

5) Homework for Next Meeting:	
TBD Based on Above	
Next Meeting: 10/27/22 @ 3:00 PM	
Adjourn	7:13

#### 4. Polling Issues

None

#### 5. ZOOM MEETING RECORDS:

Zoom Recording and Transcript: Link

Passcode: XR6+eG8?

#### 6. MEETING CHAT:

00:54:09	<b>Debbie Palmer</b> : Closed captions do not capture members of the workgroup speaking, only mostly the facilitator.
01:26:39	<b>Greg Storms</b> : If you are having a hard time hearing the audio try using earbuds with your computer.
02:30:28	<b>Catherine's Biscoe</b> : Well yes but still waiting for audiojust saw this message though
02:55:40	<b>Joel Geier</b> : I just finally got admitted back in after returning home at 5:30 pm. Can someone kindly tell me how to set my screen name as a WG member?
02:56:40	<b>WG, ALT Ginger Richardson, Republic Services:</b> if you click in the upper right corner of the box with your name, you'll see two blue pop ups
02:56:49	<b>WG, ALT Ginger Richardson, Republic Services:</b> one says unmute and another has three dots.
02:57:00	<b>WG, ALT Ginger Richardson, Republic Services:</b> click the three dots, and then scroll down to where it says "rename"
02:57:08	Joel Geier: Thanks!
02:57:21	<b>WG, ALT Ginger Richardson, Republic Services:</b> click that and a pop-up box will come up that allows you to type a new screen name

02:57:24	WG, ALT Ginger Richardson, Republic Services: Sure!
03:29:47	<b>WG Joel Geier:</b> I can't figure out how to "raise" my hand here. The county needs to consult with an impartial legal expert on this question.
03:30:32	<b>WG-Alt Andrew Johnson, Marion County:</b> click on reactions on the bottom and the raise hand.
03:34:37	WG Joel Geier: Thanks again I found it eventually!

#### 7. BOC Direction and Expectations Statement

The Board of Commissioners had an in-depth conversation at our last board meeting in order to clarify roles, responsibilities, and expectations of the Benton County Talks Trash Workgroup and I agreed, as the chair, to come here today to share our direction with you. To be clear the expectation is that you follow this direction. I will go through our direction point by point, check for understanding and take clarifying questions. At the end we will have time for overall discussion.

- 1. A workgroup member appointed by an Organization/Interest Group does serve a "liaison" type role. The Workgroup Charter clearly states that there is an expectation for two-way communication between the workgroup member and their organization/interest group. The Board of Commissioners decided not to change that role. We do however, want to convey an important message. When it comes to Benton County Organization/Interest Groups (SWAC/DSAC & Planning Commission) we expect that discussions will be kept to Workgroup updates and feedback and input on the specific topic the Workgroup asked for feedback on. We do not want the Organization/Interest Groups to try to re-direct or change the work of the Workgroup. We also expect that our appointed bodies are not engaging in discussions outside of their meetings. The Board of Commissioners recognizes this "liaison" role *in theory* is a good idea, but in practice it has proven to be a little confusing to navigate.
- 2. The BOC has decided to cancel the SWAC/DSAC meetings until the time the Workgroup has draft documents for them to review and comment on. The Planning Commission has other items they need to meet about, but we have directed them not to engage in Benton County Talks Trash conversations until that time as well.
- 3. The Board of Commissioners expects that all conversations/work on the topics and subtopics listed in the Benton County Talks Trash Charter and Bylaws under "II Scope & Charge" A-E will be kept to the "one table". The BOC also does not wish to add, remove, or edit any of the Charge elements.

- 4. At this time the BOC does **not** want the Workgroup to make recommendations on whether and/or when the landfill should be closed, how to reduce the amount of waste that goes into the landfill, or options for the county's solid waste future. That's not to say that those topics are not important, but that is not what this group is here to do.
- 5. Any official communication from the Workgroup (or any Benton County appointed body) should be agreed upon by the body by majority vote and passed along by staff. Individual members do not speak for the body.
- 6. The Board of Commissioners expects everyone representing Benton County to conduct themselves in a courteous manner, including communications in person, writing, email, phone call, etc. Be polite. We all have to work together on these tough issues.
- 7. In the event that a workgroup member cannot or will not meet expectations as determined by the Board of Commissioners, the Board of Commissioners will remove the member from the workgroup. In addition, if the workgroup member is also a liaison from a Benton County appointed body, the Board of Commissioners will consider removing the individual from the appointed body as well.